It’s not just about the MCAT

Minnesota’s medical schools use a number of measures to find students who fit their missions—and who are likely to make excellent physicians.
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The problem(s) with board exams

Medical board examinations profoundly impact our professional lives without necessarily adding discernable value.

Every occupational physician, like me, is tested on the facts that vanadium exposure can lead to a green tongue and that OSHA was (surprisingly) signed into law by President Richard Nixon. The former is exceedingly rare (I don’t know anyone who has ever seen it) and the latter has no clinical application. Do these “pearls” of wisdom demonstrate acumen in my field?

Early in our careers, the USMLE Step 1 examination, which is not clinically relevant or correlative to clinical expertise, is used as the primary factor in selecting applicants for residency programs. Then come the specialty board certification examinations, which often do not reflect the complexities or commonalities of clinical practice.

These examinations require weeks upon weeks of study and thousands of dollars—and are unavoidable for licensure and if you want to demonstrate your expertise in specific clinical areas.

I’m not alone in having concerns over their value; there is a class-action lawsuit of more than 100,000 physicians against the management and control of certification from the American Board of Internal Medicine. (Full disclosure: I am not one of the physicians in the lawsuit.)

Both the format and content of these examinations seem inappropriate for measuring what matters. A multiple-choice question format, the most common form of medical certification testing, stifles the true spirit of clinical medicine, in which differential diagnoses are considered and a multifaceted plan is often implemented in order to narrow possible diagnoses to the most likely, based on actual findings.

Certification exam questions frequently fail to reflect the breadth of knowledge of clinical expertise or are too deep, presenting uncommon clinical conditions (the so-called “zebras”) rarely encountered in clinical practice. Should an examination that doesn’t represent our work be the basis of our professional certification?

Clinicians also dispute the high costs of these examinations. Large hospital system practices often include continuing medical education (CME) time as a benefit of employment, but this CME time is often limited and frequently used mostly or entirely to attend professional conferences, not for study, travel or sitting for examinations. Budgeted CME funding is also limited, except in those instances where an employer independently pays for certification examinations. The time spent and costs are amplified for physicians with multiple board certifications, who may already be paying for several professional memberships and conferences.

How do we fix this? First, we must work to increase the return-on-investment of certification examinations or to decrease the burden on individual clinicians. Personalized feedback from board examinations would create real value; currently, feedback is vague to avoid “giving away” proprietary test content.

Ultimately, clinicians must collectively decide how best to measure and communicate medical student or specialty competency and then advocate for certifying bodies that are serving their best interests.

Although I’m still a few years away from recertifying for my specialties, I’ll continue entertaining at parties with my stories about the interesting history of OSHA. And the only green tongues I’ve seen so far are from candy … but I’ll keep looking.

Zeke J. McKinney, MD, MHI, MPH, is the chief medical editor of Minnesota Medicine.
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Deep in the reeds

BY CARMEN PEOTA

Mayo Clinic hand surgeon Allen Bishop, MD, has his twin brother to thank for the fact that he plays the oboe.

When the two were 11-year-olds choosing band instruments in their hometown of Milwaukee, Wis., Bishop’s brother nabbed the flute. Their music teacher suggested that Allen try the oboe, explaining they sounded well together.

It wasn’t long before Bishop was seriously studying the instrument, first with Milwaukee Symphony players and then, while a biology major at St. Olaf College in Northfield, with Rhadames Angelucci, former principal oboist for the Minnesota Orchestra. “It just turned out to be something that I had a talent for,” he says. “And I loved the sound of the instrument and kind of kept it going all these years.”

Actually, Bishop has more than “kind of kept it going.” He has been a member of the Rochester Symphony since 1975. He assumed the principal oboe position in 1978, his first year at Mayo Medical School and has held it ever since. He also is a principal oboist for the World Doctors Orchestra, which performs benefit concerts for medical aid projects around the world. “I think I’ve reached a level of proficiency that allows me to play in an orchestral setting with a reasonable degree of success,” he says humbly.

Playing with these orchestras is such a big part of Bishop’s life that he lists his musical credentials—principal oboe, Rochester Symphony and World Doctors Orchestra—next to his medical one—professor of orthopedic and neurosurgery, Mayo Clinic—at the top of his LinkedIn page. Further down the page, he calls oboe playing “My chief avocation!”

(And his twin brother, the kid who took the flute first? Today he is a pediatric gastroenterologist at the University of Iowa. “Nothing like identical genes,” says Bishop.)
Playing for joy

Although Bishop is a seriously good player and works hard at his instrument, he plays because he enjoys it. He practices several hours a week, typically at the end of the workday or on weekends. “I have to motivate myself if I’m too tired to go upstairs and do something musical,” he admits.

He spends as much (or more) time making reeds. It’s the aspect of being an oboist he finds most challenging. “It’s not that tough to play [the oboe],” he says. (Others might argue with that.) “The biggest problem is the reeds.” The oboe, along with the bassoon and English horn, is a double-reed instrument. Reeds consist of two pieces of cane cut or scraped a certain way and tied together. Get it wrong and you have squawks and hisses rather than a warm tone. “I have to really work at it,” Bishop says, noting that about one in five turns out well. Even so, he might have an edge on other oboists, as the fine motor skills he’s developed performing microsurgery are useful for reed making. “It’s a little technical—just like the [surgical] work that I do.”

Preparation pays off when Bishop takes his seat in the middle of the orchestra and begins to play. “I just enjoy it,” he says, explaining that it allows him to express himself. He also enjoys the camaraderie that comes from making music with others. “I try to make every time I go to either rehearse or play with the orchestra be almost a celebration for me,” he says.

And although oboe parts are among the most exposed in orchestral music, Bishop rarely gets nervous. “That’s the joy of playing a woodwind in an orchestra,” he says. “You’re the only person on a part, and you can express things and shine and play the solo parts,” he says. “After going to the surgery, invading people’s bodies with knives, arthroscopes, and the like, for me a musical performance is not at all daunting.”

Playing for good

A few years ago, Bishop’s musical career took a new turn. A colleague at Mayo Clinic, neurologist and cello player Shelby Cross, MD, told him about the World Doctors Orchestra. Bishop played his first concert with the orchestra in Yerevan, Armenia, in 2010. Since then, he’s been a regular, playing concerts one to two times a year. Most recently, he played with the orchestra in Cracow, Poland.

The World Doctors Orchestra was founded in 2008 by Stefan Willich, a German cardiologist and conductor, the idea being to bring physician-musicians from different countries together two to three times a year to perform music and raise money for various health care-related causes. The players cover their own expenses and pay a fee to cover some of the event’s expenses, such as the venue rental. All profits from ticket sales go to the charity.

Musicians get their parts in advance and are expected to know them by the time they arrive in the country where they’re playing the concert. They generally arrive a few days in advance so they can practice together. “We need that time to gel and play as an ensemble,” Bishop says. Rehearsals are conducted in English, although players come from many parts of the world.

Most of the time, Bishop stays a few extra days in order to give a talk at a local medical school or hospital. At those, he shares about his research on bone and joint transplantation or his clinical expertise, for example, in repairing injuries to the brachial plexus. He also describes how Mayo Clinic specialists collaborate. Through these talks, he’s recruited fellows to Mayo and formed a network of colleagues around the world.

Musically, the World Doctors Orchestra has provided Bishop an opportunity to play the grand pieces, such as Mahler symphonies, that he otherwise wouldn’t get to play with the smaller Rochester Symphony. And it has offered him a chance to meet people who are doing what he’s always done—mixing music and medicine. “We are all musician-nerdy people who … share the love of music and the commitment to providing the best possible health care that we can,” he says. “And to further that mission through the orchestra—that is something everyone is proud to be part of.” MM

Carmen Peota is a Twin Cities freelance writer and editor.
Ideas to marketable device

It’s hard to be a good inventor, it’s hard to be a good engineer and it’s hard to be a good surgeon, says Alan W. Johnson, MD, MS.

But Johnson has managed to be—and to continue being—all three. He gives no small credit for that to the Innovation Fellowship Program at the University of Minnesota. “Really, the groundwork for everything was laid during the Innovation Fellowship,” he says.

Johnson was a chemical engineering major at Northwestern University and, through the university’s cooperative engineering program, he worked at Proctor & Gamble’s Health Care Research Center and assisted in consumer medical product development. Even while training as an engineer, Johnson says, he was thinking about ways to take that background into medicine.

He went to the University of Minnesota Medical School, earning not only his medical degree but also a master’s in otolaryngology. He did his residency in head and neck surgery at the University, and then looked for a way to synthesize the medicine and the engineering and his entrepreneurial spirit in a formal program: The Innovation Fellowship.

“It’s a one-year program that didn’t exist when I started medical school,” Johnson says. “But I knew that Stanford had one and I was looking for opportunities. When the program started at Minnesota, I was excited—and I was able to get into the fifth-year class. You get to be paid to dream and create.”

The Innovation Fellows Program, part of the Earl E. Bakken Medical Devices Center, was started with four fellows in 2008-2009 to offer a more structured approach to designing medical devices, says Joseph Hale, PhD, director of the Fellows Program. Hale was himself a member of the first class of fellows.

Today, between four and eight fellows are chosen each year from roughly 60 applicants, Hale says. “We are looking for people who are entrepreneurs, with a creative, innovative spirit.” Although a medical degree or doctorate is preferred, some fellows have had bachelor’s degrees—with experience in the medical device industry. The goal is to put together a group of people with diverse backgrounds who will stimulate and support each other. At least one of the fellows will be a physician but fellows have included attorneys, a veterinarian, even someone with experience in fashion design. “We are very intentional about creating diverse teams,” Hale says. “That’s
where the fun and creativity come in.” Although a product may be developed by one fellow, the interaction and support of the group is important throughout the process.

Physicians who apply tend to be in the early stages of their career, Hale says. The relatively modest stipend for fellows is similar to that of any post-doctoral fellowship, which means it can be difficult for an established physician to give up a practice, even for a year, for a reduced income.

Since its beginning in 2008, the fellowship has resulted in more than 100 patent applications, at least nine patents issued, at least nine start-up companies created and 26 products that were licensed, optioned and/or donated.

The patents for products developed through the fellowship are held by the University of Minnesota. If successfully marketed, the company that develops the product would pay royalties to the University; the University gets a third of that product would pay royalties to the University; the University gets a third of that

Minne Ties

A zip tie for fractured jaws was one of Johnson’s first ideas when he started his fellowship in 2013 and he kept coming back to it as he tried out others and worked with the other fellows in the group. “It’s simple enough to be manufactured, and the other technology out there isn’t that good,” he says. Once he had the idea, he spent most of his fellowship time and almost all his free time trying to figure out how to prototype the idea of zip ties, how to make them in the lab, “how to put them on cadavers and test dimensions.”

By the end of the fellowship year, he had the devices refined enough to try them on himself: “I spent all my Saturdays making these things, deburring them, using zip ties I bought at Home Depot. I used cuticle scissors to deburr them so I wouldn’t tear up my own gums.

“I went into the call room on campus and sat in front of mirror and put them on. And they worked.”

The next year, 2014, Johnson pitched the product to potential partners. He talked with venture capitalists in Silicon Valley. And he pitched it to Summit Medical in St. Paul. “Summit was gracious enough and ambitious enough to take on the project,” he says. “Since then, I’ve been able to work closely with them. They did some excellent work.”

The product, Minne Ties Agile MMF, got FDA approval in April 2017 and has been marketed not just in the United States but also in Europe and Canada. It was awarded a silver award in the 2018 Annual Medical Design Excellence Awards, an awards program for the med-tech industry.

Minne Ties resemble typical zip ties, with a smooth clasp head on one end and a stainless steel blunt tip introducer on the other. The self-locking ties are applied through the interdental space to provide a secure bite. The benefits include:

• No sharp wires or screws.
• The self-locking sutures allow for quick and easy procedures in either a surgical or non-surgical setting.
• Each Minne Ties suture takes less space in the mouth than standard wires and screws, so there’s better access for the physician.
• The blunt introducer is trimmed after the Minne Ties suture has been done, leaving only the smooth clasp head; the patient is more comfortable.

“A way to think of this is that surgeons doing facial trauma are craftsmen with a toolbox,” says Johnson. “We’ve had the hammers, the drills, the wires, but never anything like a zip tie. They come in really handy for a lot of reasons.”

Minne Ties are definitely in Johnson’s toolbox: He is an otolaryngologist, more commonly known as an ear, nose and throat surgeon, at Altru Health System in Grand Forks, North Dakota, his home town. “It’s fun for me to be able to use these things clinically for my own patients,” he says. “I have one patient I used them on recently and they’re holding his occlusion in perfect position.”

Hale says Minne Ties are not the only successful product to come out of the fellowship, but Johnson’s success was unusual because he was able to develop the concept and license it shortly after the year of the fellowship. Another successful product developed through the fellowship is the cell phone app Soundly, developed by Brian Krohn. The app is essentially “pushups for the tongue,” Hale says, to help strengthen upper airway muscles and reduce snoring. Like Minne Ties, Soundly is generating revenue now. “But 90 percent of medical product concepts never end up being commercially successful.”

What’s next

“I’m still enjoying being a practicing surgeon,” Johnson says. “Looking forward, this project is probably at the end of what I can contribute to, although I’d like to help train people in it.”

“The next step is to try to run the gauntlet again, with a new invention,” he says. “But this is such a consuming process that if you try to do more than one at a time, you’re unlikely to put the time in that it needs.”

It’s not as if he has a lot of time on his hands right now; besides his surgical practice, Johnson and his wife have three young sons and the two of them train for and run triathlons, most recently in the half-Ironman world championships in South Africa. “Every minute of the day is spoken for,” he says.

Because inventing and then marketing a medical device takes enormous time, Johnson says. “Expect that if you’re going to pursue this, it’s going to take a half decade and lots of your time and energy to get consensus from all the stakeholders involved, from insurance companies, from a company that might license and develop it, from attorneys … and most important, from patients.

“Ideas aren’t about wonderful ideas that no one else has thought of; it’s really about consensus building.”

Linda Picone is editor of Minnesota Medicine.
From fellow to attending physician

BY PRABHJOT S. NIJJAR, MD

It doesn’t matter whether you are transitioning to a private or an academic practice; you face a quantum leap in responsibilities and an entirely new job description.

Much has been written about this vulnerable period, with many specialties taking steps to address the issues of transition. This period can be especially challenging when you transition from additional years of sub-specialty training or research beyond the typical three years of specialty/fellowship training.

I completed an advanced cardiac imaging (ACI) fellowship that consisted solely of training in cardiovascular computed tomography (CCT) and cardiovascular magnetic resonance (CMR). My early job also involved echocardiography and seeing patients in the clinic, duties that would be considered common practice for a cardiac imager. I found those duties to be a much harder transition than those involving reading CCT and CMR. Even though I had spent nine months in my general cardiology fellowship training in echo and had the requisite board certification, I felt ill-prepared to tackle the complexities of diastology or to get the perfect left atrial appendage view on trans-esophageal echocardiogram (TEE). Clinic visits felt awkward, as I had not had any direct patient contact over the previous year and it took a while to establish a comfortable rhythm. It made me realize that all the knowledge and procedural skills gained in my general fellowship training were still at a delicate stage. Taking a break from those skills so early on, when you haven’t developed adequate muscle and nerve memory, is perilous. Contrast this with a senior clinician who goes on a sabbatical and may find it relatively easy to return to clinical duties because they had flexed those muscles for many years before the time away.

This, of course, is a relatively common scenario: an interventional or electrophysiology graduate may be expected to use clinical skills they trained in—but haven’t practiced for one or two years. Similarly, those doing dedicated research training often have to take on clinical duties after a long hiatus. This transition can be especially abrupt with imaging fellowships. Accreditation Council for Graduate Medical education (ACGME) accredited fellowships (adult congenital heart disease, advanced heart failure and transplant cardiology, clinical cardiac electrophysiology and interventional cardiology) have continuity clinic built in as a requirement. But since cardiac imaging fellowships are not ACGME-accredited, they don’t have to follow the same rules. These fellowships tend to be more flexible in their structure. Most training sites have multi-modality imaging available (some combination of echo, structural TEE, CCT, CMR and nuclear, including Positron Emission Tomography) and trainees have a lot of freedom to design their fellowships to meet their interests. Of the 55 clinical imaging fellowships listed on the ACC Advanced Imaging Training Program Database, 39 offer multi-modality training and 17 explicitly endorse flexibility in design. Many ACI trainees use this flexibility to focus on and master a specific imaging modality, often neglecting other essential imaging modalities. There is an urgent need to address this gap in practice of clinical skills for early career (EC) cardiologists.

Steps to avoid losing touch with clinical skills

- **Echocardiography/nuclear cardiology reading embedded in advanced training:** Many advanced imaging fellowship programs have echo/nuclear training as part of a multi-modality platform, but a significant number of imaging fellowships focus exclusively on CT or MRI or both. This is understandable; a trainee may wish to gain deep expertise in a specific modality. One option is to have the trainee read echo/nuclear one half or full day a week. Many applicants going into an imaging program will have the requisite training for echocardiography/nuclear cardiology and can get hospital credentials for independent reading. Board certification in the required modality can be helpful to document competency. This serves the additional purpose of paying for a portion of the trainee’s salary.

- **Direct patient care:** Most sub-specialty fellowship trainees are board-eligible general cardiologists and can provide independent patient care. This can be set up as occasional weekend rounding on the in-patient general cardiology service so it doesn’t interfere with fellowship training that takes place during weekdays. Since cardiac imaging fellowships are not
ACGME-accredited, specific ACGME duty-hour restrictions don’t apply. However, imaging programs should strive to follow best practices and adhere to duty-hour restrictions so as not to impact patient care. If weekend work is available, trainees can pro-actively apply for state medical licensure and hospital credentials—these processes are both slow and can take many months. Direct patient care also serves the additional purpose of paying for a portion of the trainee’s salary.

- **Moonlighting**: Many of us have practiced moonlighting at some point to supplement our relatively meager trainee salaries. Many cardiology practices offer opportunities for senior cardiology trainees to do weekend rounds. Duty-hour restrictions should be adhered to by trainees. Moonlighting can be especially beneficial to those involved in dedicated research, with limited options for clinical care.

- **CME courses**: Modality-specific societies conduct regular review courses that are very popular. These can be timed to provide a refresher in the desired modality before starting a job.

- **Mentored transition**: Mentorship is critical during training and it remains critical during the early career period as well. It is common practice to be assigned a research mentor on starting an academic job. It is equally important to have a clinical mentor in your field. On starting my first job, my echo lab director scheduled me to work in the echo lab on the same days he worked there. Having mentored many EC cardiologists over decades, he honed his skills at providing help in a way that boosts confidence. I knew that if I struggled during a TEE with intubation or a particular view, help was close by. With this nurturing, my confidence grew quickly and I was ready to operate independently soon.

- **Gradual transition**: Practices should be mindful of the early career cardiologist’s recent training history. Skills that have not been recently used can be put to use gradually. For example, clinic/inpatient duties can be postponed or kept light until the physician’s lab skills are up to speed. The first few months are the most crucial, so it should not be a big burden on the practice’s master schedule. Allowing a new cardiologist to gradually acclimatize can be a rewarding long-term investment.

Prabhjot S Nijjar, MD, is an assistant professor and cardiology specialist at the University of Minnesota Medical School

**Acknowledgement** I would like to acknowledge Chetan Shenoy, Program Director of the Advanced Cardiac Imaging Fellowship at the University of Minnesota, for his mentorship with this article.
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University of Minnesota medical students Ally Fuher and Zac Novaczyk examine models of the heart in the Visible Heart Lab on the Twin Cities campus.
Minnesota’s medical schools use a number of measures to find students who fit their missions—and who are likely to make excellent physicians.

It’s not just about the MCAT

Today’s first-year medical students tend to be different than medical students of previous generations. They’re more female, less white, older and with more varied experiences than was once the case.

Once, medical schools relied almost exclusively on academic success to sort applicants. Today, the task is more complex as they look for students who show that they can not only succeed academically but also relate to patients—and maybe make a difference in the way and the places where medicine is practiced.

The three medical schools in Minnesota use somewhat different methods to determine which applicants to admit, and their student bodies reflect missions that are unique to each school. University of Minnesota Medical School-Duluth, for example, is a leader in training physicians who will work in Native American communities. Mayo Clinic Alix School of Medicine, with a relatively small student body in Rochester, measures factors that may include socioeconomic disadvantage, military service and/or unusual paths into medicine. University of Minnesota Medical School-Twin Cities, with the largest student body, looks for future physicians who will maintain the high quality of health care in Minnesota.
Choosing medical students for admission to the University of Minnesota is a multi-step process

BY DIMPLE Patel, MS; JACQUELINE L. GAUER, MA; AND CLAUDIO Violato, PHD

Modern admissions procedures feature varied tools and techniques for evaluating applicants to medical school. The evaluation processes are designed to select the candidates from a large pool of applicants who will be successful students and the best possible doctors. The University of Minnesota Medical School Twin Cities (UMN TC) MD program is continuously reviewing and revising its admissions process to reflect the evolving needs of Minnesota’s physician workforce, and to implement innovative state-of-the-art methods for selecting prospective medical students.

Historically, this was not always the case. The American medical schools of the past typically focused narrowly on applicants’ academic profile components, such as grade point average (GPA) and scores on the Medical College Admission Test (MCAT), as the primary criteria for admission. This made it relatively easy to reduce the large numbers of applications schools receive to manageable numbers for later stages of the selection process, which is likely to include interviews, biographical information, information about personal and professional pursuits like volunteerism and research and statements of interest.

But in recent decades, concerns have grown that this general process may not be achieving its intended goal of selecting the best potential doctors. The preliminary criteria (academic achievement, MCAT scores) are important, but they may overemphasize candidates’ ability to score high on exams and deemphasize other characteristics important to physician success, such as the ability to communicate effectively with patients or to work in an integrated healthcare team. Many believe that it is time for American medical schools to shift their views on what constitutes good candidates from an overemphasis on academic characteristics to other important key personal characteristics.

Is it possible, however, to identify admissions characteristics such as predisposition for direct clinical care, motivation to work in underserved regions or with underserved populations, or ethical and moral reasoning capabilities? The UMN TC MD program is continuously considering such questions and exploring modern admissions methods to address these needs.

Twin Cities admissions committee review process

The MD admissions process at UMN TC consists of nine steps. Applicants begin by completing a national common application through the American Medical College Application Service (AMCAS), followed by a UMN TC MD program-specific supplemental application. Once complete, this application is reviewed by two or three members of the admissions committee to determine whether the applicant should receive an interview. When the interview is complete, the application file, including the interview scores, is returned to the Admissions Committee for a final review and vote. Ultimately, candidates for acceptance are reviewed one final time for approval by the Admissions Executive Committee before an acceptance offer is issued.

Holistic review process

Like many medical schools, the UMN TC MD program employs a holistic review process: “flexible, individualized way for schools to consider an applicant’s capabilities, providing balanced consideration to experiences, attributes, and academic metrics. These factors are considered in combination with how the individual might contribute value not only as a medical student, but as a physician, as well.” (https://www.aamc.org/initiatives/holisticreview/).

For every application cycle, the UMN TC MD program receives more than 4,000 applications, and conducts holistic review for applications that meet a minimum MCAT threshold. Selection criteria beyond the MCAT and grade point average (GPA) are set based on an individual school’s mission and priorities. For the UMN TC MD program, those additional criteria primarily include contributions to diversity, human service, medically related experience and research experience.

In 2013, the Association of American Medical Colleges (AAMC) conducted a survey of medical school admissions officers, asking them to weight the importance of various application factors utilized in the decision-making process. Within the academic domain, the MCAT, cumulative grade point average, trends in academic performance and post-baccalaureate performance were all rated as important or very important. Within the domain of experiences, admissions officers rated health care experience, community service, experience with underserved populations, demonstration of cultural awareness and leadership activities as important or very important. Public medical school admissions officers rated U.S. citizenship and state of residency as important or very important; for private schools, these two attributes were rated as medium and lowest importance respectively. Both public and private medical schools rated first-generation college status, race/ethnicity and socioeconomic status as of medium importance.
In 2017, a similar survey was conducted with the UMN TC MD Admissions Committee. Overall, the admissions committee gives almost equal weight to an applicant’s experiences, attributes and metrics. When considering an applicant for an interview, MCAT score and medically related community service are given the strongest weight, followed by the applicant’s demonstration of cultural awareness, GPA and non-medical community service. The committee also places weight on an applicant’s state of legal residency, giving MN residents preference. Other important factors include an applicant’s responses to ad-

**STEP 1**
Candidate completes and submits common application through AMCAS.

**STEP 2**
Initial screen of application. Candidate offered UMN TC supplemental application.

**STEP 3**
Candidate completes and submits supplemental application.

**STEP 4**
First review by 20-3 committee members to determine whether candidate receives an interview.

**STEP 5**
Candidate participates in multiple mini interview (MMI) program on campus.

**STEP 6**
Candidate’s complete file, including MMI rating, reviewed by three committee members.

**STEP 7**
Committee vote

**STEP 8**
Final decision of accept, waitlist or deny

**STEP 9**
Final application review and decision approved by Admissions Executive Committee (AEC).

---

**MEDICAL STUDENT PROFILE**

**SRUTHI SHANKAR**
FIRST-YEAR STUDENT AT UNIVERSITY OF MINNESOTA MEDICAL STUDENT-TWIN CITIES CAMPUS

From a very young age, Sruthi Shankar knew she wanted to be a doctor. When her family moved from India to Singapore without any other family nearby, their physicians provided support and medical care during impactful moments of their lives. Shankar spent middle school and high school in St. Cloud, where she earned a bachelor’s degree in biomedical science and biochemistry from St. Cloud State University.

**What drew you to medicine?**

My maternal grandmother was passing away from breast cancer while my mother was having my sister. Her OB/GYN was someone who really was there for her and helped her through that. She still talks about that experience to this day. I also had an amazing pediatrician in Singapore. I just remember his presence and warmth. And I was always really drawn to science. There were many times when I tried to do other things that weren’t medicine, but then I would know it wasn’t for me.

**What was appealing about the University of Minnesota?**

Science is science, so medical schools distinguish themselves through the programs and avenues students can take. University of Minnesota has the rural medicine program and the metro program, where you do rotations in urban areas, and a lot of strong support for student success.

(continued on page 17)
ditional application questions that focus on contributions to diversity, overcoming challenges and demonstrating resilience. Post-interview, the Admissions Committee weights the interview performance and score the strongest, followed by MCAT and medically related community service. Other factors (e.g., cultural awareness, non-medical community service and research) are also considered in the context of holistic review.

**The new MCAT**
The MCAT has a long history of use as one component of the application process at the majority of U.S. and Canadian medical schools, but it has evolved over those 90 years. Since the MCAT was first developed, it has undergone five major revisions, with the sixth and current version (the “New MCAT”) launching in 2015. Prior to this, the MCAT had been last updated in 1991. The subsections of the New MCAT include Biological and Biochemical Foundations of Living Systems (BBFL), Chemical and Physical Foundations of Biological Systems (CPBS), Psychological, Social and Biological Foundations of Behavior (PSBB) and Critical Analysis and Reasoning Skills (CARS).

The New MCAT reflects changes across premedical and medical education, and the increasing diversity of patient populations and health care delivery. Like the old MCAT, the New MCAT is designed to test basic science competencies, reasoning and critical thinking skills, but it also includes a new section testing sociocultural and behavioral concepts that influence patient outcomes. The New MCAT also serves as a tool to influence the cur-

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>TABLE 1 Multiple Mini Interview stations utilized by the University of Minnesota Medical School Twin Cities MD program for the Admissions Cycle 2018.</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td><strong>STATION</strong></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>4</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>5</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>6</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>7</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>8</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
ricular and co-curricular experiences of premedical students.

The early MCAT exams (1920s–1960s) focused on memory and scientific vocabulary. Later versions also tested scientific problem-solving and then concepts in the behavioral and social sciences. The New MCAT shifts the focus from testing what students know to testing how well they use what they know. The New MCAT represents an attempt to assess the highest levels of problem-solving and scientific thinking.

**Multiple Mini Interviews (MMI)**
The UMN TC MD program has implemented new admissions methods assessing communication skills, cultural awareness, and teamwork. U.S. and Canadian medical schools have increasingly adopted the multiple mini-interviews (MMI) method, in which applicants work through a series of brief, semi-structured stations and are assessed by trained raters. As the use of the MMI has expanded, research evidence indicates that the MMI has adequate reliability and evidence of validity as a medical school selection criterion. Researchers have generally found that the MMI demonstrates good job-relatedness and acceptability, particularly among candidates. The evidence also indicates that MMI scores are not biased by gender, socioeconomic status, race or other characteristics.

Beginning with the admissions cycle for the matriculating class of 2017, the UMN TC MD program implemented this new format for interviewing applicants. Previously, the UMN TC MD program had followed a traditional interview model, conducting two-hour-long interviews for each candidate. In the new MMI model, applicants rotate through a circuit of eight seven-minute stations, each designed to measure certain important factors that are theoretically determined to be valuable for success in medical school and as a physician.

The stations used by the UMN TC MD program were developed by a company called ProFitHR. They were selected through an iterative process and evaluated to measure certain important factors. They were designed to assess how candidates might perform in medical school and as a physician.

**SRUTHI SHANKAR (continued from page 15)**

**Were there other factors?**
It was important to me that the school articulated its commitment to provide good health care to people of all kinds, despite their socio-economic, racial and gender background, and to provide an education to those students. Not a lot of schools do that. It might be in their mission statement, but they don’t always have programs to help students be successful physicians. Minnesota’s secondary application asks how we will increase and promote diversity and inclusion in medical school and outside of school as physicians. It was a pretty direct example of how they were recruiting students who are committed to that mission.

**How did your application process go?**
I wasn’t going to apply to the U because I was dealing with a crisis in the middle of applying to medical school. I’m technically an international student, and I wasn’t sure how that was going to be viewed. My family hadn’t finished the process of becoming permanent residents by the time I was 21. Because I am now a legal adult, I needed to go back to Singapore in the middle of my last semester at St. Cloud State to get a student visa. When I applied, I explained the whole situation to the University by email—that I grew up in Minnesota and had strong ties to the state.

[Associate Dean of Admissions] Dimple Patel took the time to get back to me and explained that as long as I got my visa situation sorted out, they would consider my application. It was very encouraging. Eventually I interviewed here and got in, and they called to tell me. They gave me an acceptance letter from the U that showed I had a reason to go back to Minnesota. I found it to be such a positive atmosphere. It was really amazing, and it pointed to the fact that they are looking for any and all resources to support students.

**What did you think of the interview process?**
Lots of schools are doing the MMI (multiple mini-interview) format now, but I really enjoyed the format the U has. It does a much better job with the stations, having different scenarios where you’re interacting with the physicians or answering questions. I enjoyed it compared to a traditional hour-long interview, which can feel very repetitive to the applicant. We did have a 30-minute interview with a faculty member who had never seen our file, so I got to showcase my talents in other ways. It was a more holistic approach.

**Were there other things that attracted you to University of Minnesota, Twin Cities?**
It’s a huge research institution and I like being able to access different research opportunities, whether it’s clinical or basic science. You can tailor your medical education to how you want it and experience so many different settings. There are also a lot of dual degree programs like MD/PhD or MD/MBA, and the flexibility to take time off to do that, or to get married and have kids.

**Any thoughts on the direction of your medical career?**
I find all aspects of health care to be really intriguing. I really like procedures and I think surgery is a strong possibility. I’m interested in rural, or a setting like St. Cloud where you practice rurally but can come back to the hospital setting. I’m really interested in research, health care inequities and the laws and ethics regarding health care, so I’m thinking about how to fit in all of those interests. There is a lot of opportunity, to the point where it can be overwhelming. But it shows how diverse a career in medicine can be.
ated by 80 faculty members and physicians via a validation survey. For Cycle 2017, a final battery of seven stations was selected based on that feedback (an eighth station was added beginning with Cycle 2018). For each station, the rater determines a rating of the candidate’s performance on a scale from 1 = below average to 10 = above average. The factors measured by each station, along with that station’s mean and standard deviation scores for Cycle 2018, can be found in Table 1.

Although the MMI model is more complicated logistically than the traditional model (each interview season involves the coordination of more than 100 volunteer staff, faculty and student raters), the evidence supports the use of the MMI in order to provide a well-rounded view of the applicants. Additionally, the increased volume of raters evaluating each candidate decreases the likelihood of the bias of one rater having a disproportionate effect on an applicant’s chances of admission. Statistically, the scores from the MMI are normally distributed compared to those from the traditional interview method, which are highly skewed. Finally, the MMI provides a wider range and greater standard deviation than traditional interview scores. In the traditional model, most applicants’ scores were clustered towards the top of the range, making it very difficult to distinguish between average and outstanding candidates.

Table 1. Multiple Mini Interview stations utilized by the University of Minnesota Medical School Twin Cities MD program for the Admissions Cycle 2018.

The MMI model has been very well received overall by the Admissions Committee, interview raters and applicants. In Cycle 2017, 66 percent of all interviewed applicants completed a post-interview day evaluation of their interview day experience. Of those, 30.2 percent found their interview experience for the UMN TC MD program to be more favorable than their experience interviewing at other medical schools; 39.7 percent found their experience to be just as

(continued on next page)
A total of 51,680 people applied to medical school in the United States in 2017, according to the AAMC. That year, 21,338 applicants matriculated to a medical school and, for the first time, the percentage of women was slightly higher than that of men: 50.7 percent. The UMN TC MD Program has seen a higher percentage of women in the matriculating class on and off since 2007. The 2017 matriculating class saw the highest percentage of women—60 percent—in recent years. Increasing the number of medical students from broadly diverse backgrounds is vital to meeting the Medical School’s mission and serving an increasingly diverse patient population. The UMN TC campus has seen an increase in representational diversity since 2013 and continues to develop unique mechanisms to ensure the enrollment of students who demonstrate cultural awareness from personal lived experiences and intentionally secured professional experiences.

Characteristics of the classes of 2021 and 2022
The classes of 2021 and 2022 include 175 students each, with 167 of them MD students. The eight other students in each class are students in the UMN TC joint MD/PhD program. Minnesota residents make up 83 percent of each class, while nonresident students represent 10-15 other states across the United States. Women are 60 percent and 54 percent of the classes of 2021 and 2022 respectively. Approximately 35 percent of each class was made up of students from different multicultural backgrounds. Only 11 percent of the class of 2022 identify as first-generation college students, a decline from 19 percent in the previous class. The average age for both classes is 24 years.

Focus on diversity and inclusion
A total of 51,680 people applied to medical school in the United States in 2017, according to the AAMC. That year, 21,338 applicants matriculated to a medical school and, for the first time, the percentage of women was slightly higher than that of men: 50.7 percent. The UMN TC MD Program has seen a higher percentage of women in the matriculating class on and off since 2007. The 2017 matriculating class saw the highest percentage of women—60 percent—in recent years. Increasing the number of medical students from broadly diverse backgrounds is vital to meeting the Medical School’s mission and serving an increasingly diverse patient population. The UMN TC campus has seen an increase in representational diversity since 2013 and continues to develop unique mechanisms to ensure the enrollment of students who demonstrate cultural awareness from personal lived experiences and intentionally secured professional experiences.

Characteristics of the classes of 2021 and 2022
The classes of 2021 and 2022 include 175 students each, with 167 of them MD students. The eight other students in each class are students in the UMN TC joint MD/PhD program. Minnesota residents make up 83 percent of each class, while nonresident students represent 10-15 other states across the United States. Women are 60 percent and 54 percent of the classes of 2021 and 2022 respectively. Approximately 35 percent of each class was made up of students from different multicultural backgrounds. Only 11 percent of the class of 2022 identify as first-generation college students, a decline from 19 percent in the previous class. The average age for both classes is 24 years.

**WHITNEY LLOYD** (continued from previous page)

nity—there are only 65 people in the class—so I felt right away that it had a sense of community. To me, that was really important.

**How did you approach applying for medical school?**
I looked at a lot of different schools and it was difficult to choose where to apply. I’d be happy to go to a lot of different places. But I got it narrowed down. I applied to 18 schools for my primary application and 12 for my secondary, then I had four schools where I interviewed and three acceptances. It was really nice to be able to have a choice.

**What was it like to go through the admissions process?**
You always feel like you’re being evaluated and doubting yourself. But it’s having the confidence that what you want to do is go into medicine. You have to believe in yourself and believe in your application. It’s nice that we have great schools in Minnesota and you can’t make a wrong choice. It’s finding something that’s a good fit for you. I feel like I did and I’m really happy about it.

**What about the interviews?**
When I interviewed at the University of Minnesota-Twin Cities, we had a one-on-one for half an hour with a professor and mini-interviews with 10 people. It was sometimes difficult because I didn’t know if they got to know things about me during five minutes. I liked the one-on-one interview. For the Duluth interview I had two hour-long interviews with a family physician and a professor who is Native American, and I had lunch with some of the first-year students. Then, when I got accepted, I got a phone call, which was really nice.

**How has your first year gone so far?**
It’s been really good. I was pretty nervous at first. You hear it’s like drinking from a firehose. But I can say that everyone is here to help you and students collaborate. They really do encourage the rural part. We’ll have different lectures on chemistry and then a family physician comes in to talk about agriculture and farm accidents. We have clinical half-days, and then eight weeks where we get to stay with a rural family physician. I think I’m going to the White Earth Reservation, so that’s really nice.

**Any idea what you’d like to do as a doctor?**
I really like working with kids. They have a lot of resilience and it’s a really fun environment. You can’t be too serious. But I’m open-minded because there are different areas of medicine that I haven’t been involved with. I’m excited about the experiences we have here. We get to work with Native Americans. Since my mom’s side of the family is from Alderville, a First Nation in Canada, they have universal health care and don’t have a lot of the same struggles people have here. That will be interesting to learn about.
Admissions process at UM-Duluth reflects its mission

BY SUZY FRISCH

At the University of Minnesota Medical School-Duluth campus, the admissions process is rooted in its mission to improve health care access and outcomes in rural Minnesota and Native American/Alaska Native communities. This objective gets woven into its selection decisions, with consideration of these additional factors for candidates:

- Potential for practicing in rural Minnesota.
- Potential for serving Native American communities.
- Potential for practicing in family medicine.

Overall, the admissions process in Duluth works to evaluate applicants’ commitment to delivering compassionate and quality patient care, a high degree of personal integrity, and skill in communicating with diverse groups.

Candidates must be able to demonstrate their motivation to practice family medicine in rural Minnesota or provide health care to Native American communities. In addition, the admissions committee assesses candidates’ qualifications through recommendations, on-site interviews, undergraduate achievements, and other post-secondary experiences.

This focus results in a medical school campus that is flush with students who are from hometowns smaller than 20,000 people (81 percent) and students who are underrepresented in medicine, such as Native Americans (11 percent). In fact, the campus ranks number-two in the nation for graduating Native American physicians. Other emphases for the medical school include first-generation college students and those from economically disadvantaged backgrounds.

Students may apply to both University of Minnesota Medical School campuses, but they pay two separate application fees. They fill out the American Medical College Application Service (AMCAS) application, similar to the common application for undergraduate programs. Each campus has its own supplemental application. At Duluth, the supplemental application includes additional questions to answer and a request for three letters of recommendation.

The committee uses the recommendations to evaluate candidates’ academic capabilities and potential to become a family medicine physician in rural Minnesota or for Native American communities. Duluth suggests a mix of letters such as those from a science faculty member, a supervisor from a work or volunteer experience, and a someone who represents applicants’ interests in rural or family medicine.

Next, the admission committee reviews the applications and determines who will receive one-on-one interviews with two members of the admissions committee. That committee includes medical school faculty, staff, and community representatives. Ultimately the committee makes its decision six to eight weeks after a candidate’s interviews.

This keen focus on training future primary care physicians and providing care in rural communities has borne fruit for the Duluth campus. To date, 47 percent of its graduates matched into family medicine residencies, compared with 9 percent nationally, and 67 percent matched into primary care medicine. In addition, 44 percent of alumni practice in communities with populations under 20,000—compared with about 8 percent of physicians nationally. In addition, 66 percent of alumni practice medicine in Minnesota.
Mayo Clinic looks for different kinds of diversity

BY SUZY FRISCH

The Mayo Clinic Alix School of Medicine admissions process reflects the Mayo Clinic’s deep commitment to providing the best care to every patient, through integrated clinical practice, education, and research. It aims to create holistic classes that embody diversity of all kinds to better serve patients who mirror that diversity.

The variation Mayo seeks is itself diverse, encompassing applicants from wide-ranging backgrounds. It includes groups that are underrepresented in medicine, geographic variation, military service, the path someone took to medical school, socioeconomic disadvantages or first-generation college students.

In general, Mayo values very high academic achievement and test scores, a depth and breadth of activities covering the gamut of interests, and a significant commitment to serving people, says J. Michael Bostwick, MD, a psychiatry professor and senior associate dean of admissions. Those interests can entail leadership, research, artistic or athletic endeavors, an exploration of medicine or work experiences.

“It’s highly selective. We start with 8,000 applications—5,000 to the Minnesota campus and 3,000 to the Arizona campus,” he says. “At many stages and as they get interviews, I tell students that no matter what happens, they already have been chosen from among a large group of initial applications and they should be pleased and encouraged.”

Students use AMCAS to apply to one or both campuses, paying application fees for each. Those who are selected

MEDICAL STUDENT PROFILE

VIVEK SOMASUNDARAM
FIRST-YEAR STUDENT AT MAYO CLINIC ALIX SCHOOL OF MEDICINE, MINNESOTA CAMPUS

Vivek Somasundaram wanted to pursue a career that would allow him to improve the quality of people’s lives. After majoring in biomedical engineering at University of North Carolina–Chapel Hill, he realized that he would rather administer treatments to patients as a physician than develop devices as an engineer. Somasundaram sought a smaller program with a collaborative sensibility, and he moved to Minnesota from his native North Carolina to obtain it.

Did you go right from college to medical school?
Following college, I went on to complete a post-baccalaureate program at Virginia Commonwealth University, where I took graduate-level courses. I did not get much exposure to many biology courses as an engineering major, so this program allowed me an opportunity to see if I enjoyed the content covered in medical school while gaining more clinical exposure. Then I returned to work as program administrator for the same organization.

(continued on next page)
How did you approach applying to medical school?
Rather than casting a wide net, I focused on applying to medical schools that I had a strong interest in attending. This could stem from the mission of the school, the culture and environment, availability of research opportunities and/or its location and proximity to my family. I applied to 12 schools in total, interviewed at five, and was accepted to four.

Why were you interested in Mayo medical school?
I wanted a medical school that had an environment of collaboration, teamwork and frequent interaction with faculty. This, combined with the opportunity to work with and learn from world-renowned clinicians and unparalleled research opportunities, made Mayo Clinic School of Medicine a place where I felt that I could thrive.

What stood out to you about Mayo's admissions process?
Mayo Clinic made it very clear that they wanted us to let the admissions office know if we were very interested in attending Mayo through a "letter of intent." This was something that other schools did not encourage or even accept during the admissions cycle.

What was the interviewing process like at Mayo?
One thing I remember vividly about my interview day was that I had a question that my interviewer, Dr. [Phil] Fischer, said he would research and get back to me about. I was expecting an email from him in the days or weeks after the interview because I knew he had a very busy schedule. During my afternoon interview, my second interviewer had been in communication with Dr. Fischer and already had an answer for me. Dr. Fischer's actions showed how much he cared and were indicative to me of the level of involvement of the faculty and staff in helping medical students achieve their goals.

What has the first year been like so far?
It’s been great! The people in my class along with my M2 and M3 "med sib" mentors really have formed a strong support system. My interactions with faculty have also greatly enhanced my learning experiences, particularly in anatomy. During dissection, we would often have clinicians in the lab showing us the clinical applications of the structures we were covering that day. This gave me perspective on why we were learning the structures and made the material more exciting. The faculty have also been helpful outside the class. When I first moved in, my professor's husband helped me put up blinds in my apartment because I did not have any power tools. Little actions like these have helped me adjust to life in Minnesota and made me feel a part of the Mayo community.

What plans do you have so far for your medical career?
I think I want to pursue a specialty with a procedural component but I'm still keeping an open mind because it's still early!
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#ThisIsOurLane
Clinicians, particularly primary care clinicians, often ask how to approach vaccine hesitancy or outright vaccine refusal, especially with the human papillomavirus (HPV) vaccine. The Advisory Committee on Immunization Practices recommends HPV vaccination for males and females at 11 to 12 years of age, giving clinicians permission to vaccinate as early as 9 or 10 years of age and recommendations for catch-up through age 21 years for males and 26 years for females.

Population acceptance of the HPV vaccine remains poor relative to our success with other vaccines due at age 11 to 12 years—the tetanus-diphtheria-acellular pertussis (Tdap) and the quadrivalent conjugated meningococcal (MenACWY) vaccines. The National Immunization Survey NIS-TEEN measured the uptake of these vaccines among 13- to 17-year-old adolescents in 2017. For those living in Minnesota, 87.5 percent had received a Tdap and MenACWY vaccine but only 46.9 percent had completed the HPV vaccine series.

Parents of adolescents in this age group have reported a number of reasons why they don’t plan to vaccinate their teens against HPV, including their provider not recommending the vaccine, the belief

The **CASE** approach to addressing their concerns

**Corroborate**
Express the shared value that underlies the concern.

**About Me**
Make an about me statement with an “and” rather than a “but” that emphasizes your professional standing and expertise relevant to the shared value.

**Science**
Summarize the science, referring to science that supports your take on the concern, with regard to the shared value.

**Explain Advice**
Explain why you’re giving this advice and restate your strong recommendation to vaccinate today in terms of the shared belief and the science.
that their child is too young and concerns about vaccine safety.

Published studies show that clinicians should make strong recommendations for HPV vaccination—and should persist when they meet resistance. How can a clinician preserve the relationship to the parent and patient, address the basis for the hesitation and confirm the strong recommendation?

In previous articles in this journal, we have written about the use of the CASE approach first developed by Alison Tepper Singer to address vaccine hesitancy. With the CASE approach, the clinician frames a response to the vaccine hesitant parent that Corroborates awareness of the parent’s hesitancy while identifying a shared underlying value. Next, the clinician makes an About me statement, summarizing how the clinician, through professional development, obtained their understanding of the vaccine in terms of this underlying shared belief, summarizing the Science that supports the clinician’s recommendation and leading the clinician to Explain the clinician’s Advice.

We have identified eight common concerns parents express regarding the HPV vaccine and created scripts for how you might address parents’ questions and vaccine hesitancy using the CASE approach.

1 “The HPV vaccine’s too new. We don’t know yet if it really works or if it is really safe.”

Corroborate
“I agree with you that many treatments are too new and unstudied to prescribe.”

About Me
“And, as one of your health care team’s clinicians, I wouldn’t want to recommend a vaccine until we have well-established effectiveness and safety data.”

Science
“In the United States, vaccines are tested for safety long before they are licensed or recommended, and these tests are performed in very large clinical trials involving tens of thousands of patients the same age as your child.”

Explain Advice
“That’s why I’m recommending your child get the HPV vaccine, in part because of my confidence in its well-established, long-standing effectiveness and safety record.”

2 “I am concerned about the HPV vaccine causing long-lasting health problems.”

Corroborate
“I share your concern that we must avoid preventive measures like vaccines if they can cause long-lasting health problems in even a small percentage of patients.”

About Me
“And, I have learned in my studies as a clinician that vaccines must meet a much higher standard for safety and avoidance of side effects than medicines used to treat disease once the disease is present. This is because they are given to so many more people simply to prevent illness.”

Science
“Both the very large trials before licensure and the even larger studies conducted since licensure in 2006 show that HPV vaccines don’t cause chronic disease or long-lasting injury.”

Explain Advice
“That’s why I am confident to recommend this vaccine to all of my patients who are your child’s age.”

3 “HPV vaccine’s not one of the required vaccines.”

Corroborate
“It’s true that the schools do not require the HPV vaccine series—or the yearly flu vaccines, for that matter.”

About Me
“And, as a member of your child’s health care team, I need you to know that we don’t make our decision to recommend a vaccine or not based on school requirements. Important vaccines like HPV and influenza weren’t included in the school requirements
Because the number of doses and the timing of those doses would overwhelm school nurses in monitoring vaccination.

**SCIENCE**
“Rather than recommend a vaccine because it is required, we recommend a vaccine because the studies show the vaccine is effective in preventing disease, safe to receive at the age to be given, and needed, given the risk of the disease otherwise. Not all vaccines meet these standards; we only recommend the ones that do.”

**EXPLAIN ADVICE**
“That’s why I am recommending the HPV vaccine for your child today; the vaccine is proven effective, safe and necessary.”

**4 “My child’s too young to get the HPV vaccine.”**

**CORROBORATE**
“I agree with you that we wouldn’t want to give this vaccine—or any vaccine—to a person if the preventive effects would wear off before the person needed it.”

**ABOUT ME**
“And, as a healthcare professional, I’m committed to prescribing only things that would be of lasting benefit to your child.”

**SCIENCE**
“This particular vaccine’s protection does not weaken or go away in time. Children ages 9 through 14 have a much better response to this vaccine than older teens. Vaccinating now means having to receive only two doses. Waiting for even a few more years would mean needing three doses because a teen’s immune system is no longer as responsive as that of a younger child.”

**EXPLAIN ADVICE**
“That’s why I’m recommending this vaccine today when your child is young and will have a strong immune response with a vaccine whose protection does not fade away.”

**5 “Because of our family values, my child will never be at risk for the disease and does not need the vaccine.”**

**CORROBORATE**
“I agree that we have to avoid expensive treatments and reduce the burden of healthcare costs.”

**ABOUT ME**
“And, I’m glad you raised the question of affordability. Many of my patients’ parents don’t realize that these recommended vaccines are covered 100 percent by insurance, with no copay or deductible. I’ve learned in my role as a health care professional…”

**SCIENCE**
“…that with current insurance-coverage laws, my patients’ parents have no out-of-pocket expenses with vaccines, including the HPV vaccine.”

**EXPLAIN ADVICE**
“While things may change in the future, for now, this vaccine, while expensive, is covered completely. That’s one of the reasons why I am recommending this vaccination now with the first dose today.”

**6 “It’s too expensive. We can’t afford it.”**

**CORROBORATE**
“I understand what you are saying. Your child is not going to be exposed to this infection for years and years to come.”

**ABOUT ME**
“And, as your child’s health care provider, I don’t want to do anything that is could be done at a later time and work just as well.”

**SCIENCE**
“Studies show that completing the HPV vaccine series does not influence sexual behaviors or choices for teenagers. We know now that the infection is so common that HPV will infect nearly 80 percent of U.S. adults by the time they are 50. The infection is invisible, undiagnosed and without symptoms in most people. The vaccine prevents the infection that causes cancer.”

**EXPLAIN ADVICE**
“That’s why I’m recommending this vaccine to every one of my patients—because all of my patients are at risk for this infection.”

**7 “My child’s not sexually active. My child doesn’t need the HPV vaccine yet.”**

**CORROBORATE**
“I agree that we have to avoid expensive treatments and reduce the burden of healthcare costs.”

**ABOUT ME**
“And, as your child’s health care provider, I don’t want to do anything that is could be done at a later time and work just as well.”
SCIENCE
“I know from my readings and the conferences that I attend that we just don’t see teens and young adults in our offices regularly and we can’t depend on waiting until a checkup several years from now that most of the time will not happen. We want to complete the series before a person is exposed and when completing the series isn’t such a big disruption. Vaccinating now means having to receive only two doses. Waiting for even a few more years would mean needing three doses because a teen’s immune system is no longer as responsive. Studies show that the vaccine works best after you complete the series, but it does not wane or lose its protection. Studies also show that people who wait to start the vaccine series often fail to finish it because life, school, sports and other activities get in the way.”

EXPLAIN ADVICE
“That’s why I’m recommending we start the series today and finish it in six months while your child’s young enough to need only two doses and have the best response to the vaccine.”

8 “We are just here for the acne/ankle sprain/ADHD and nothing else. We can deal with the vaccines due another time.”

CORROBORATE
“I share your concern that we definitely need to focus on why you and your daughter are here today.”

ABOUT ME
“And, I know from my experience as a health care professional, how hard it is to get in and get your concerns taken care of, especially with an adolescent with so many demands on her time with school, work, athletics, music, friends and family life.”

SCIENCE
“It turns out that in Minnesota, no matter what the insurance and despite full insurance coverage for preventive care, most teens do not stick to the schedule of regular preventive care visits.”

EXPLAIN ADVICE
“That’s why my colleagues and I have agreed to use every opportunity to bring our teens up to speed with their preventive care at any of the visits they make with anyone of us, rather than wait, and that’s why I’m recommending your teen get the HPV vaccine due today.”

When the nurse lets you know a parent has indicated he or she plans to decline the HPV vaccine.

The examples we’ve used address the most common expressions of parental vaccine hesitancy primary care clinicians face when we recommend the HPV vaccine. But you can respond to any concern using the CASE approach.

You might start the conversation saying, “The nurse noted that you indicated you were declining the HPV vaccine. I would like to learn from you why you wish to defer so that I can better understand your plans.” Then proceed with CASE approach. MM
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MAINTAINING CERTIFICATION

Medical specialties modify board exams to be less of a burden

BY NANCY CROTTI

Some physicians in practice today can recall the good old days, when they only had to take and pass one exam after residency in order to be certified. For many, that ended in 2000.

That year, the American Board of Medical Specialties (ABMS) proposed that a physician’s certification be renewed every 10 years. After some initial pushback, the 24 boards that make up ABMS agreed and began requiring diplomates to complete four sets of activities—including taking and passing an exam every 10 years—in order to renew their board certification.

The pushback never stopped, however, and today, some specialties have changed their approach to the maintenance of certification (MOC) exams to make them less onerous for the physicians taking them and, more important, a better measurement of a physician’s knowledge and ability.

Years of controversy over MOC exams and fees have yielded myriad studies, articles, surveys, state laws and alternative professional boards with their own certification standards. A 2016 survey published in the Annals of the Mayo Clinic showed that of the 988 physician respondents, 40 percent or less agreed that MOC activities contribute to their professional development. Only 24 percent (200 of 842) found that MOC activities are relevant to their patients and 15 percent (122 of 824) felt they are worth the time and effort. A full 81 percent said MOC activities are a burden and only 9 percent said that patients care about their MOC status.

Lead researcher David Cook, MD, MHPE, a Mayo Clinic physician, doesn’t find the results particularly surprising, based on the responses of focus groups of Minnesota and Wisconsin physicians and sentiments expressed at informal and formal public forums.

“Part of the problem is that MOC is an add-on to the already-overwhelming workflow of a physician,” Cook says. “Physicians are feeling burned out, and MOC is just one more thing on a physician’s to-do list. To the extent that MOC becomes integrated with physicians’ workflows, and offers tangible benefits (e.g. help to identify and remedy gaps in knowledge and skill), the cost-benefit ratio will probably change.”

Some physicians opted to retire rather than take one more once-a-decade, multiple-choice exam, often in a location that meant time off work and travel expenses. A few refused to take the exam or pay the fees and moved to positions that didn’t require re-certification. Most did what they need to in order to stay in their positions—some through gritted teeth.

Faced with continuing complaints, some of the boards that make up the ABMS have begun changing recertification requirements. One by one, they’ve taken the physician’s workload into consideration, breaking down the tests into more manageable chunks to be completed on a laptop or even a smartphone over a few years. Some allow short periods of time during a test for physicians to look up information, and offer limited flexibility for them to choose categories that best reflect their current practice. Some provide immediate feedback on responses and more information on the topics.

The American Board of Anesthesiology was the first to pilot an alternative to the once-a-decade exam in 2014. The anesthesia board applied adult-learning theory, which posits that cramming for a once-a-decade exam might help adults retain knowledge but doesn’t help them retain knowledge.

“What our board has done is, it said, ‘Look, we want to make this fun. We want it to be educational. We don’t want it to be punitive. Let’s set this up for adult learners,’” says Mike Wall, MD, a MOCA Minute Committee member and head of the anesthesiology department at the University of Minnesota Medical School.

The longitudinal assessment, known as MOCA Minute, requires anesthesiologists to answer 30 questions each quarter and allows one minute to answer each question. Anesthesiologists in subspecialties receive a percentage of questions geared toward those subspecialties.

The questions have evolved, too, with “a boatload of new questions every year,” Wall says. Many are topical and change as guidelines are updated. “The cool thing is, as new guidelines come out, we can get the questions out to every anesthesiologist across the country, and if they get it wrong, they’ll get it again. It’s not minutiae; it’s good stuff.”

The anesthesiology board gave the ABMS the results of its pilot in hopes of having the MOCA Minute replace a high-stakes exam taken every 10 years. When ABMS approved transitioning the pilot to a permanent part of the ABA’s MOCA pro-
Physicians are feeling burned out, and MOC is just one more thing on a physician’s to-do list. To the extent that MOC becomes integrated with physicians’ workflows, and offers tangible benefits, the cost-benefit ratio will probably change.”

– David Cook, MD, MHPE, Mayo Clinic

“The ABFM has offered a customizable exam for many years because we know many family physicians have particular clinical areas of more expertise,” says Stelter.

Going forward, the ABFM has begun a pilot of longitudinal assessment that will deliver 25 questions online every quarter to family physicians scheduled to take the examination in 2019. This new approach provides the ability to engage in ongoing assessment any time that is convenient and that can be done from the physician’s home or office on a computer or tablet, eliminating the need for time-consuming advanced preparation or travel to a test center for an all-day examination. The longitudinal nature of the alternative assessment also promotes ongoing learning and retention to a greater extent than the 10-year examination, Stelter says. To fulfill the full examination requirement, participants have four years to complete 300 questions.

Changes for pediatricians

The American Board of Pediatrics (ABP) also followed in the anesthesiology board’s footsteps after hearing about the new model at their 2015 Future of Testing conference. One of their main complaints was that the closed-book, highly secure exam did not reflect their daily practice, in which physicians frequently look up information about particular conditions and treatments on their smartphones and computers.

Like many other specialists, the pediatricians also complained that the 10-year exams were inconvenient, time-consuming and ineffective for learning. The two-year pilot of MOCA-Peds launched in 2017 and the official version begins this year.

ABP’s MOC cycle runs every five years, but the board does not expect its diplomates to answer questions every year for five years, according to Linda Althouse, PhD, vice president of assessments for the board. Instead, the ABP scores each diplomate’s answers at the end of their fourth year. Those who are not passing after four years do not lose their certification but must take the proctored exam. Under the old system, diplomates who didn't pass lost their credentials and had to wait to re-take the exam. Those who pass after four years have the fifth year “free,” with no required questions to answer.

“You’ll know how you’re doing as you’re going along,” Althouse says. “We are also dropping the lowest four quarters for diplomates each cycle, since this is an ongoing activity and we know that there can be life interruptions.” If a diplomate is performing high enough at the end of three years, they can drop all of the fourth year. ABMS also developed CertLink, a platform to help seven of its smaller boards develop and provide a longitudinal assessment program, according to Mira Irons, MD, senior vice president for academic affairs at ABMS. Physicians receive questions online two to four times a year with references to more information.

“It addresses the relevance concern that physicians have had and addresses the burden concern,” Irons says. “It’s convenient. People can take it at their home, on their laptop.”

As an alternative to the once-a-decade exam, other boards have opted for a once-a-year assessment that physicians can
take at home. Still others, including the American Board of Obstetrics and Gynecology, have asked their physicians to read a specified number of articles that relate to their specialty and answer questions based on those articles.

While taking MOC exams is getting easier, some physicians chafe at the notion that their employment may be tied to recertification. A family medicine physician for 34 years, Joe Van Kirk has taken the MOC exam five times since 1984. He'll be 70 when his next 10-year exam comes around and would rather retire than take the test again. That would mean losing clinic privileges at his workplace, Ridgeview Clinic Chanhassen. "It is a roadblock because it does require more time and effort and preparation and money," he says.

Why certification matters
Maintaining certification is crucial for most physicians; Without it, hospitals and clinics may cut off a physician's privileges, payers may cease covering the care they provide, potential patients could decide to go elsewhere and current patients might change to other physicians.

Recertification shows patients, hospitals, clinics and payers that physicians have exceeded the standard and are up-to-date with clinical and evidence-based knowledge, says Rahul Koranne, MD, MBA, FACP, chief medical officer for the Minnesota Hospital Association. He considers Minnesota physicians to be high-achieving and exacting when it comes to standards.

"Whether patients are looking or not looking, physicians in Minnesota are looking at ourselves," Koranne says. "We take this responsibility of always exceeding the current knowledge base, knowing the evidence base, very seriously. I believe patients are looking, and being board-certified is on everybody's website."

Fairview Health Services acknowledges that using the MOC process as a require-ment of employment is not perfect, according to Beth Thomas, DO, Fairview's chief quality officer. And while board certification doesn't necessarily correlate with providing excellent patient care, "it's the only real proxy we have at this time that's objective to say, 'Yeah, you're qualified,'" she says.

Blue Cross Blue Shield Minnesota requires in-network providers to be board-certified, with some exceptions. For example, if a provider is serving an underserved population or in the process of securing board certification, the credentialing committee may include that provider in the Blue Cross network following the application and credentialing process.

HealthPartners requires continuous board certification of the physicians and other clinicians who work within its care delivery system. For non-employed physicians and other clinicians whose care is covered by the HealthPartners health plan, board certification is one of the criteria the health system's credentials committee reviews when a practitioner applies for credentialing and re-credentialing.

Most health systems in Minnesota require board certification for employment, according to Stelter, who says that's not the ABFM's fault. "Board certification was never meant to be a surrogate marker for professional credentialing or employment," he says. "It's actually for mastery of the individual physician to prove that they are staying up-to-date in the field of family medicine.

"There should be ways for a health system to assess a physician other than board certification," he says. "We never sold it to the health plans or the large health systems in the country as the means to credential physicians for employment."

The ABFM resisted having its certification made a condition of employment, Stelter says, "but health systems said, 'It's here, so let's use it.'"

Stelter says there should be another pathway for potential employment and insurance credentialing for those physicians who choose not to participate in ABMS Board Certification, a pathway created by insurers and employers. "They could also come up with their own measure of physician competence for those who aren't board-certified," he says.

"They've not done that part, and board certification is rigorous—it's meant to be that way," he says. "It is a good surrogate marker if you can keep up with it, but it shouldn't be the only way. You shouldn't be denied employment based on that. That was not our intent."

The American Medical Association (AMA) agrees. "The MOC program should not be a mandated requirement for licensure, credentialing, recredentialing, privileging, reimbursement, network participation, employment, or insurance panel participation," the AMA states on its website.

The AMA's State MOC Legislation Tracker shows that four state legislatures have passed what it calls full MOC laws, which mandate that a physician's MOC status must not affect licensure, employment or insurance coverage. Seven other states have banned MOC ties to medical licensure. Legislators in 18 more states, including Wisconsin and Iowa, have introduced MOC legislation. Minnesota could be among the next, according to State Rep. Scott Jensen, MD, who is considering introducing a bill in the 2019 legislative session.

Jensen has been a family medicine physician for 34 years. In private practice in Carver County, he treats adults but gave up offering OB/GYN care in the 1990s and does not work in hospitals. He calls the 10-year MOC exam "outmoded" and "onerous." Jensen's proposed legislation would ban denying credentials to a physician who is in good standing and maintains the requisite CME credits.

As MOC exams are changing, Althouse of the American Board of Pediatrics sees resistance to taking them beginning to ease. "People are more hopeful," she says. "They want to give this a shot. The mood has definitely swung." MM

Nancy Crotti is a Twin Cities freelance writer.
When the 2019 legislative session begins January 8, it will include twice as many physician legislators as last year and a shift in power in the House of Representatives.

That doesn’t mean getting pro-physician legislation passed will be easy, though. Although the DFL now controls the House and maintains power in the governor’s office, Republicans still lead the Senate. Optimists hope this will lead to compromise and coalition-building; pessimists see more split government and inaction.

“Our legislative work is always about presenting best-case scenarios on how to make Minnesota the best place to practice medicine and ensuring Minnesotans are the healthiest in the nation, regardless of who is in power,” says Dave Renner, MMA’s director of advocacy.

It should certainly help to have two more physicians at the Capitol.

Kelly Morrison, MD, (DFL-Deephaven), an obstetrician-gynecologist and MMA member, defeated incumbent Cindy Pugh in the western Hennepin County district.

Alice Mann, MD, (DFL-Apple Valley), a family physician, defeated incumbent Roz Peterson in the Dakota County district.

“Two new physicians in the Minnesota House is a great addition,” Renner says. “They will bring the perspective of frontline care givers who are focused on ensuring that public policy serves patients.”

Morrison and Mann join two other physicians currently serving in the Minnesota Senate: Sen. Scott Jensen, MD, (R-Chaska) and Sen. Matt Klein, MD, (DFL-Mendota Heights).

Hot potato – provider tax

One hot issue during the session likely will be the 2 percent provider tax, which is scheduled to sunset at the end of 2019.

At its November meeting, the MMA Board of Trustees voted to support an alternate funding source to replace the tax to ensure the state’s health care programs remain economically viable. The new funding mechanism, a claims expenditure assessment (CEA), would be applied to adjudicated claims processed by health plans and third-party administrators (TPAs).

Passed in 1992 as the mechanism to fund MinnesotaCare, the provider tax is a levy on the gross revenue generated by various types of providers of health care goods and services. In addition to physician services, revenue from services provided by dentists, chiropractors, physical therapists, optometrists, psychologists and most other health care providers is subject to the tax, as is revenue for health care services provided at hospitals and ambulatory surgery centers. The provider tax raises significant amounts of revenue; in 2019, it will generate more than $690 million.

In response to changes in federal health care financing under the Affordable Care Act, the GOP-controlled Legislature and Gov. Mark Dayton, in a 2011 agreement, set the provider tax’s repeal for December 31, 2019. Absent replacement funding, however, ongoing funding for Minneso-
Supporting dedicated funding to address the opioid crisis, including funds to ensure Prescription Monitoring Program access through the EHR and to address addiction treatment, prevention and education.

Supporting changes to the Minnesota Health Records Act to align with federal HIPAA laws to improve efforts to better coordinate patient care and reduce duplication of services.

In addition to these priorities, the MMA will partner with other health care advocacy organizations on such issues as strengthening the state's immunization laws, ensuring access to needed mental health services and working to reduce gun violence.

"Many issues affect Minnesota physicians," Wood says. "Our staff will focus on those that wouldn't move forward without our leadership and assist on others that already have advocates in place. Doing so allows us to maximize our influence with elected officials."

The CEA will be easier for the state to administer because there will be fewer entities that pay the assessment, as opposed to thousands of entities that pay the provider tax. The collecting and verification of the CEA will be significantly simpler for the Department of Revenue.

Priorities are set

Preserving MinnesotaCare and Medical Assistance coverage by adopting ongoing, stable funding is just one of the priorities set by the board.

Others include:

- Ensuring continuation of drug therapy for patients with chronic medication needs by limiting the ability of health plans or pharmacy benefit managers to change their formularies or preferred drug lists during a patient's contract year.
- Supporting legislation that creates a community solution ensuring that prescribers are provided real-time notifications related to formulary changes and alternative covered drugs.

Advocate for medicine at MMA’s Day at the Capitol!

Physician participation is an integral piece of the MMA’s ability to influence legislators. Why? Because legislators listen to physicians and want your opinion. Plus, the decisions made by legislators at the Capitol affect your practice, profession and patients.
MMA News

News Briefs

MMA to host workshop on gun violence prevention
January 31

The MMA will host a workshop on gun violence prevention for physicians on Thursday, January 31, from 5 to 8 p.m. at the InterContinental Saint Paul Riverfront (11 Kellogg Boulevard East).

The event will include a 45-minute panel discussion featuring a legislator, a physician and an expert on gun violence data. The panel will discuss: gun violence as a public health issue, how to talk to patients and family about guns in the home and how to be an advocate on gun safety in the community. The panel also will review gun legislation at the Capitol.

The second half of the event will include table discussions in which attendees will have the opportunity to discuss how to talk to legislators about gun violence, the value of physicians sharing their stories and the importance of demonstrating why physician advocacy on this issue is important for patients and the community.

Physicians increasingly are speaking out regarding gun violence as a public health epidemic. In March 2018, the MMA issued a statement calling for: criminal background checks on all purchases and transfers/exchanges of firearms; enforcement of laws that will hold sellers accountable when they sell firearms to prohibited purchasers; investment in improved data collection, analysis, and research on firearm injury prevention; and a renewal and strengthening of the ban on military-style weapons, including banning high-capacity magazines.

In November 2018, the National Rifle Association reacted to a new gun violence study in the Annals of Internal Medicine, tweeting that physicians pushing for gun control should “stay in their lane.” This led to significant push-back by physicians on social media and the creation of the hashtags: #ThisIsOurLane and #ThisIsMyLane.

“This discussion will be an excellent opportunity to engage Minnesota physicians and help teach them how to counsel their patients and advocate with lawmakers,” said MMA President Doug Wood, MD.

On the calendar

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Event</th>
<th>Date</th>
<th>Location</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>&quot;Addressing health disparities within the Native American community&quot;</td>
<td>January 22</td>
<td>Facebook Live</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Gun Violence Prevention Workshop</td>
<td>January 31</td>
<td>St. Paul</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>MMA Day at the Capitol</td>
<td>February 13</td>
<td>St. Paul</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>&quot;Structural racism and other barriers to health equity&quot;</td>
<td>February 20</td>
<td>Facebook Live</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2019 Annual Conference</td>
<td>September 20-21</td>
<td>Duluth</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Governor declares January 2019
Health Equity Month

In November, outgoing Gov. Mark Dayton proclaimed January 2019 as Health Equity Month in Minnesota. As part of the month, the MMA, the Minnesota Academy of Family Physicians (MAFP) and the Minnesota Chapter of the American Academy of Pediatrics (MNAAP) will host a Facebook Live event on January 22 to raise awareness of health disparities in Minnesota.

The January 22 event will cover health disparities within the Native American community. A second equity event on February 20 will cover structural racism and other barriers to health equity.

Here are details:
Addressing health disparities within the Native American community | January 22, noon–1 p.m.

The event will examine the health disparities that are seen in the Native American community, and how physicians can address these disparities. The speakers will share some practical takeaways that physicians (and other providers) can implement immediately.
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<td>January 22</td>
<td>Facebook Live</td>
</tr>
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<td>Gun Violence Prevention Workshop</td>
<td>January 31</td>
<td>St. Paul</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>MMA Day at the Capitol</td>
<td>February 13</td>
<td>St. Paul</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>&quot;Structural racism and other barriers to health equity&quot;</td>
<td>February 20</td>
<td>Facebook Live</td>
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<td>2019 Annual Conference</td>
<td>September 20-21</td>
<td>Duluth</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
Speakers include:
- Angela Erdrich, MD, member of the Turtle Mountain Chippewa (Ojibwe) from Wahpeton, North Dakota and a pediatrician with the Indian Health Board of Minneapolis.
- Mary J. Owen, MD, director, Center of American Indian and Minority Health at the University of Minnesota Medical School-Duluth campus who spends her clinical time at the Cass Lake Indian Health Service.
- Jackie Dionne, director of American Indian Health, Minnesota Department of Health, will moderate the panel.

Structural racism and other barriers to health equity
February 20, noon–1 p.m.

The event will focus on structural racism, historical trauma and other barriers that stand between our minority communities and their ability to achieve equitable health.

Speakers include:
- Brooke Cunningham, MD, PhD, University of Minnesota, Department of Family Medicine and Community Health.
- Lisa Skjefte, Health Equity Specialist and American Indian Community Liaison, Children's Minnesota.
- Maria Veronica Svetaz, MD, MPH, Hennepin Healthcare.
- Christopher Reif, MD, MPH, Community University Health Care Clinic, will moderate the panel.

Additional partners for January 2019 Health Equity Month and the two events include the Minnesota Chapter of the American Academy of Pediatrics and the Minnesota Academy of Family Physicians.

These activities have been approved for AMA PRA Category 1 Credit™.

Medical students, residents and fellows: shine a spotlight on your research

Minnesota Medicine is inviting medical students, residents and fellows to submit abstracts of original research or clinical vignettes by February 28, 2019. The submissions demonstrating appropriate quality will be selected for publication in this magazine and authors will be invited to present their work in a poster session at the MMA’s 2019 Annual Conference in Duluth in September. Submissions should be no longer than 500 words plus references. Research abstracts should include a brief description of the research problem, methodology and results, and a discussion of the findings. Clinical vignettes should include a description of the case, the diagnosis and treatment approach, and a discussion of the implications of the case. Submit your abstracts and vignettes at www.mnmed.org/posterprogram.

Minnesota POLST program receives national recognition

The National POLST Paradigm, which endorses programs that demonstrate development of a form and program that meet national standards, has endorsed Minnesota’s program. Minnesota joins 23 other endorsed states.

The Provider Orders for Life Sustaining Treatment (POLST) form is a portable medical order that gives patients with advanced serious illness the option to exercise increased control over the treatment they do and do not want to receive at the end of life. It helps ensure a patient’s wishes are conveyed to emergency services and other medical providers. The POLST form is used and recognized by hospital systems, long-term care facilities, medical professionals and emergency medical services throughout Minnesota.

The MMA first developed a standardized POLST form in 2010 and it has since been widely adopted across Minnesota. The POLST Minnesota Steering Committee, led by co-Chairs Vic Sandler, MD, and Thaddeus Pope, MD, provides overall management and direction of POLST Minnesota, including POLST form maintenance, implementation, education and outreach.

AMA supports drug importation resolution originated by MN delegates

At its interim meeting of the House of Delegates (HOD) in Maryland in November, the AMA voted to support a measure on drug importation that originated as a Minnesota resolution.

In 2017, Minnesota introduced a resolution asking that the AMA support the importation of drugs for patients who purchase directly from Canadian pharmacies for personal use, as one way to provide more affordable medications. At that time, the resolution was referred to the AMA board for more study.

In mid-November, the report of that study was presented with a recommendation that the AMA change its position to support this limited importation of Canadian drugs. That recommendation was adopted with overwhelming support.

The HOD reviewed and acted on 41 different board and counsel reports and more than 110 resolutions introduced by state and specialty societies. The AMA strengthened its position on gun violence by opposing the manufacture and importation of 3D printed firearms; strongly opposed laws that lead to the detention or separation of immigrant children entering the country; supported adequate Medicare payments that don’t differentiate based on the site of the service; and more.

Members of the Minnesota delegation included: Paul Matson, MD; David Estrin, MD; David Luehr, MD; Cindy Firkins Smith, MD; Andrea Hillerud, MD; Kathryn Lombardo, MD; David...
For adolescents, mental health and/or depression screening

Depression remission and response rates remain relatively low,

Silver – Use of Data Visualization/Infographic – Association. This was for an infographic comparing data from 1918 and 2018 in the January/February 2018 issue on the magazine’s 100th anniversary.

Minnesota Medicine wins two excellence awards

Minnesota Medicine won two awards at the 22nd annual Minnesota Publishing Excellence Awards in November in Minneapolis:

- Gold – Feature Article – Association, Under 30,000. This was for the “Think Big” story by Howard Bell in the November/December 2017 issue, about the changing practice landscape in health care.
- Silver – Use of Data Visualization/Infographic – Association. This was for an infographic comparing data from 1918 and 2018 in the January/February 2018 issue on the magazine’s 100th anniversary.

MN Community Measurement releases study on depression in state

MN Community Measurement (MNCM) released a report in late October that highlights progress that has been made in identifying and caring for depression among adults and adolescents in Minnesota.

The outcome measures in the report, “Depression Care in Minnesota,” reflect more than 110,000 adult patients in Minnesota whose depression screening indicated the need for treatment.

Key report findings were:

- Depression remission and response rates remain relatively low, and improvement has been slow. Analysts point out that one reason for this is that many patients with depression aren’t receiving the necessary follow-up assessment; missed follow-up affects the rates for all outcome measures.
- For adolescents, mental health and/or depression screening rates have significantly improved since 2015 and have shown considerable improvement every year. Most medical groups in Minnesota are now administering a depression screening tool to their adolescent patients at well-child visits.

The report shines a light on the work that is being done to identify and treat depression, and illustrates the need for improvement in care. The full report can be viewed at www.mncm.org/depressionreport2018.

MMA in Action

In late November, CEO Janet Silversmith and Dave Renner, director of advocacy, met with Governor-elect Tim Walz’s transition team to discuss potential commissioner candidates for the departments of health and human services. Renner and Eric Dick, manager of state legislative affairs, also met with the transition team to discuss MMA’s legislative priorities.

In early December, Renner met with Representative-elect Alice Mann, MD, to discuss the MMA’s provider tax alternative proposal.

Renner and Dick addressed a meeting of state health care lobbyists and policy analysts organized by the American Cancer Society Cancer Action Network (ACS CAN) to discuss health care financing issues. Dick and Renner presented the MMA’s proposal to ensure stable financing for critical programs that provide health care to low-income Minnesotans once the provider tax is repealed at the end of 2019.

Scott Wilson, manager of physician outreach, Annie Krapek of the Twin Cities Medical Society, and Pete Dehnel, MD, conducted a presentation on vaping at the University of Minnesota Medical School-Duluth campus. The trio also presented at HealthPartners Family Medicine in Inver Grove Heights and HealthPartners Family Medicine in Woodbury.

Wilson, Juliana Milhofer, policy analyst, and Lindsey Schneider, education and events coordinator, attended Resilience Conference 2018: Moving from Surviving to Thriving in Plymouth in December.
VIEWPOINT

It’s go time

The physicians of Minnesota have been waiting a long time for 2019, the year the provider tax will be repealed. Assuming legislators don’t vote to rescind the repeal, physicians across the state will finally be done with the regressive tax. While this is good news, it’s also a bit concerning because the Legislature has not succeeded in identifying replacement funds for the nearly $600 million needed to fund critical health care programs.

Since the tax was enacted in 1992, the MMA has consistently made the repeal of it a high priority on our legislative agenda. We led the call for its repeal, which legislators passed in 2011 (with a Dec. 31, 2019, effective date) in a bipartisan fashion.

While we have opposed the tax, we have supported the safety net programs it funds. MinnesotaCare, Medical Assistance, SHIP, rural health and primary care initiatives, and more are all important programs that impact thousands of Minnesotans and need financial support. That’s why this summer the MMA worked with SHADAC, a health policy research center affiliated with the University of Minnesota School of Public Health, and Harbage Consulting, a Sacramento, Calif.-based health policy and communications consulting firm, to scrutinize several options that could aptly serve as replacements for the provider tax.

After analysis and financial modeling, we arrived on an alternative funding mechanism, a claims expenditure assessment (CEA), that would be applied to adjudicated claims processed by health plans and third-party administrators (TPAs).

This will be an improvement for several reasons: It is fairer and less regressive because it would not apply to patient out-of-pocket spending, patients who pay cash or charity care; it’s modernized to reflect current (post-ACA) federal and state health care financing realities; it improves the competitive advantage of the state’s health care providers who serve patients from out of state by removing the provider tax from the cost of their care; and it reduces administrative costs for both the state and all providers of care. Our plan also provides support for public health-related activities currently funded out of the Health Care Access Fund, including programs to support rural health care, smoking cessation, obesity prevention and health data analytics.

Convincing legislators to adopt this new form of financing won’t be easy. The MMA’s legislative team has already held several meetings with potential allies. They’ve been receptive, but they’ve also said that it will be a tough sell, if for no other reason than that change is hard.

There are many legislators who believe the easy, and more viable, option is to just rescind the provider tax repeal. Other legislators are certain to insist that the provider tax be repealed without replacing the revenue. In that case, these programs may simply cease to exist as we currently know them.

That’s where you come in. We need an army of physicians calling, writing and meeting one-on-one with their legislators. Let them know that replacing the provider tax with a more modern funding source is the right thing to do. You will have an ideal opportunity to do just that on Feb. 13 during the MMA’s annual Day at the Capitol. Your presence is critical to helping us convince lawmakers that replacing the provider tax is the best way to ensure the safety net programs’ financial security, and that the claims expenditure assessment is the way to do it.

We feel strongly that this assessment is an innovative, sustainable way to ensure access to care while preserving the repeal of the provider tax. Please help us make our case during the legislative session and Day at the Capitol. Let’s raise our voices and advocate together for improved practice and healthier Minnesotans!
One physician’s fight for science over politics

What the Eyes Don’t See: A Story of Crisis, Resistance, and Hope in an American City

REVIEW BY CHARLES R. MEYER, MD

The diminutive woman’s bespectacled face could barely be seen above the lectern at Minneapolis’ Westminster Presbyterian Church. She spoke evenly and precisely, telling her story of misplaced public frugality, lying leadership and factual denial. After 20 minutes, even the restful, wood-lined walls of the glorious church reverberated with anger and frustration at the injustices that had endangered the health of children in Flint, Mich. The standing ovation for Mona Hanna-Attisha, MD, lasted way beyond the standards of Minnesota polite.

An Iraqi-American whose family immigrated to the United States during the terror of Saddam Hussein’s regime, Hanna-Attisha became a practicing pediatrician and currently serves as head of the pediatric residency program at Hurley Hospital in Flint. Deriving its name from the D.H. Lawrence quote, “what the eye doesn’t see and the mind doesn’t know, doesn’t exist,” What the Eyes Don’t See: A Story of Crisis, Resistance, and Hope in an American City recounts the saga of the lead contamination of Flint’s water and Hanna-Attisha’s critical role in uncovering the attempts to hide it.

Flint was a sick city before its water had excess lead, with household income at half the U.S. median, crime-riddled streets that led Navy Seals to train there because it so resembled a war zone and a life expectancy 15 years less than the national average for children born in Flint. Facing bankruptcy, Flint was involuntarily declared an economic emergency by the Michigan governor and placed under the direction of an emergency manager, who supplanted the mayor. As a cost-saving measure, the emergency manager stopped purchasing Lake Huron water from Detroit’s water management department and started drawing water from the Flint River instead. Shortly after this move in 2014, residents began noticing brown, smelly water issuing from their taps. In the first of the cover-ups, an Environmental Protection Agency investigator examined a Flint home in 2015 and found that the pipes serving the home were leaching lead, that the Michigan Department of Environmental Quality was using faulty testing procedures and that Flint wasn’t using corrosion control, which caused the leaching. When he submitted his report, the investigator was reprimanded and referred to an ethics committee.

Hanna-Attisha learned about this and the previous Washington, DC, water crisis from a high school friend who worked as an environmental engineer. In 2002, Washington DC, had switched from chlorine to chloramine to better suppress bacteria in drinking water. The dangerous side effect of this was increased corrosion of lead pipes and leaching of lead into the drinking water. In a nauseating foreshadowing of the Flint scandal, officials at the Centers for Disease Control denied the facts of drinking water lead contamination presented in a report and waited until 2010 to acknowledge their mistake.

Gathering data about children’s blood lead levels before and after the change in source of Flint water, Hanna-Attisha battered first Flint and Michigan officials, then the news media, with proof that the change had caused elevated lead levels in a substantial number of Flint’s children. She persisted through official denials and ad hominem attacks made even when authorities had not examined the data: “Even before bothering to analyze my findings, the governor’s office and the state agencies launched a systematic effort to undermine and discredit me.” She prevailed and some of the officials who tried to stymie her ended up with criminal charges against them.

According to Hanna-Attisha, the story of Flint’s water is “the story of a government poisoning its own citizens, and then lying about it. It is a story about what happens when the very people responsible for keeping us safe care more about money and power than they care about us, or our children.” Interwoven with humanizing details about Hanna-Attisha’s personal and profession life, What the Eyes Don’t See is also the inspiring story of a physician who martialed her science to overcome the dysfunctional and dangerous side of politics.

As I write this, there are reports about lead in the water of Newark and the protracted public denials from New Jersey authorities that the drinking water was anything but safe. “Déjà vu,” that bespectacled, diminutive, fire-breathing physician from Flint is likely saying. MM

Charles R. Meyer, MD, is the former executive editor of Minnesota Medicine.
HPV vaccination among young adults in Minnesota

Findings from a cross-sectional statewide survey

BY RIDA SHAikh, BA; RACHEL I. VOGEL, PHD; REBEKAH H. NAGLER, PHD; ABIGAIL SCHNAITH, BA; EMIL LOU, MD, PHD; ANNIE-LAURIE MCREE, DRPH; AND DEANNA TEOH, MD, MS

Catch-up vaccination against human papillomavirus (HPV) has been recommended for older adolescents and young adults to age 26. We sought to describe HPV vaccination coverage among young adults using data from a statewide sample.

This IRB-approved, anonymous, cross-sectional survey was conducted at the 2017 Minnesota State Fair. Fair attendees 18-26 years of age were invited to participate. We used Chi-squared tests to identify demographic variables associated with HPV vaccine initiation (≥1 dose) and series completion (3 doses).

Of 1,037 respondents, 637 (61%) had received at least 1 dose of HPV vaccine, and 303 (29%) had completed the series. HPV vaccine initiation was higher among respondents who were younger (age 18-22 vs. age 23-26 years-old; 63% vs. 59%, p=0.0002); female (73% vs. 43%, p<0.0001); and white race (vs. non-white; 62% vs. 55%; p=0.006). Series completion was higher among females (54% vs. 30%; p<0.0001). The most common reasons for not being vaccinated were not receiving a provider recommendation (35%), lack of information (34%), and being in a monogamous relationship (30%).

Our findings show that HPV vaccination coverage remains suboptimal among young adults in Minnesota. Efforts are needed to support effective provider recommendations to 18-26-year-olds, especially to non-white men, who are the least likely to report HPV vaccination.

Introduction

Human papillomavirus (HPV) causes more than 500 new cancer diagnoses each year in Minnesota, including cervical, vaginal, vulvar, penile, and increasing numbers of anal and oropharyngeal cancers. A preventive HPV vaccine has been commercially available since 2006, and regions with high HPV vaccination rates are predicted to decrease the incidence of HPV-related cancers by 90% in the next two decades. The Advisory Committee on Immunization Practices advocates for HPV vaccination for males and females 11-12 years of age, but the vaccine can be administered to adolescents as young as 9 years old and is recommended for young adults up to 26 years old; on Oct. 5, 2018, the FDA granted approval to extend the upper age limit to 45 years. In Minnesota, only 59% of adolescents age 13-17 years have initiated the HPV vaccine series, and only 44% have been adequately vaccinated with two doses (if <15 years of age at vaccine initiation) or three doses (if >15 years of age at vaccine initiation).

Since 2006, young adults ages 18-26 have been eligible for HPV vaccination if not previously vaccinated. The primary objective of this study was to describe self-reported HPV vaccination rates among young adults using data from a statewide sample. The secondary objectives were to identify populations who have especially low vaccination rates, and to identify reasons for not receiving the HPV vaccine.

Methods

The study and the survey were approved by the University of Minnesota Institutional Review Board. The study was a cross-sectional survey conducted at the Minnesota State Fair during four 7-hour shifts between Aug. 25 and Sept. 4, 2017. Young adults 18-26 years of age were...
recruited at the University of Minnesota Driven to Discover building. The Driven to Discover program provides resources for University of Minnesota researchers to conduct studies at the State Fair, including space within a designated Driven to Discover building, wireless internet connections, and electronic tablets for participants to complete surveys. State Fair attendees were eligible to participate in the study if they were between 18 and 26 years old (per self-report), able to read and write in English, and able to provide consent. The survey data were collected and managed via electronic tablets using Research Electronic Data Capture (REDCap) hosted by the University of Minnesota National Center for Advancing Translational Sciences. Participants received a University of Minnesota drawstring backpack for completion of the survey.

All participants provided informed consent prior to initiating the anonymous survey. Eighteen multiple-choice questions covered the following topics:

- Current HPV vaccination status: (vaccinated, unvaccinated, unknown), number of vaccine doses received, reasons for not vaccinating, receipt of healthcare provider recommendation.
- Demographic data: age, sex, gender, race, sexual orientation, partner status, highest level of education, household income, zip code of residence.
- Health information: history of sexually transmitted infection(s), history of cancer.

The survey also included questions regarding eHealth literacy and social media use, and assessed advertising appeal of social media graphics promoting HPV vaccination.

Survey responses were summarized using descriptive statistics. HPV vaccination status was compared by demographic variables, including gender, age (18-22, 23-26 years), race (white, other), and education (high school graduate or less vs. at least some post-secondary education) using Chi-squared tests. Statistical analyses were performed using SAS 9.4 (Cary, NC) and p-values less than 0.05 were considered statistically significant.

**TABLE 1**

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>PARTICIPANT DEMOGRAPHICS (N=1,037)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td><strong>VARIABLE</strong></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Age</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>18-22 years</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>23-26 years</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Sex</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Male</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Female</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Prefer not to answer</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Transgender, gender-queer, gender-fluid or gender unsure</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Yes</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>No</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Prefer not to answer</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Race (Check all that apply)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Asian/Pacific Islander</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Black/African/African American</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Hispanic/Latino</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Native American/Alaskan Native</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>White</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Other</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Highest Education Level</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>≤High school graduate or GED</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Post-secondary school (college, technical school)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Graduate school</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Prefer not to answer</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Current Health Insurance Status</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>No insurance</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Coverage by parent/guardian’s insurance</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Coverage by spouse’s/partner’s insurance</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Independent private insurance</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Government insurance</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Unsure</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Prefer not to answer</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Sexual Identity</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Straight or heterosexual</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Gay or homosexual</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Bisexual</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Something else</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Unsure</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Prefer not to answer</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>History of sexually transmitted infection</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>History of genital warts</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>History of cancer</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
Results
A total of 1,114 Minnesota State Fair attendees participated in the study. After excluding participants outside the target age range, 1,037 eligible participants formed the study sample. The median age of study participants was 22. Sixty-three percent were women, and a majority (82%) reported white race. The participants were highly educated, with 79% reporting at least some post-secondary education (i.e., college or technical school) or more. Ninety percent of the study population reported having insurance and 85% had private insurance [Table 1].

Among the eligible respondents, 637 (61%) had received at least one dose of HPV vaccine, and 303 (29%; 48% of those initiating vaccination) reported receiving all three doses [Table 2]. Respondents 18-22 years of age were more likely to have initiated HPV vaccination (63%) compared to respondents 23-26 years of age (59%; p=0.0001). Females were also more likely to report initiating vaccination (73%) compared to males (43%; p<0.0001). White respondents were more likely to be vaccinated (62%) compared to non-white respondents (55%; p=0.006).

HPV vaccine series completion, defined as receiving three doses in this older population, was higher among females (54%) compared to males (30%; p<0.0001), and among older respondents (58% vs. 40%; p>0.0001), but did not differ by race [Table 2]. Initial analysis showed higher education level to be associated with both HPV vaccine initiation and completion, however, differences were driven by a higher proportion of individuals with a high school education or less reporting unknown vaccination status. Education level was no longer significant when controlling for age.

Among unvaccinated respondents, only 31% recalled a healthcare provider recommendation for the HPV vaccine (Table 3), with recommendations reported more commonly by females (50% vs. 14%; p<0.0001) and white individuals (38% vs. 13%; p=0.02). Respondents 18-22 years of age were more likely to report having received a healthcare provider recommen-

Discussion
HPV vaccination continues to be an underutilized primary prevention strategy (37%) than respondents 23-26 years of age (27%; p=0.005).

Among unvaccinated participants, reasons for not being vaccinated are listed in Table 4. The most common reasons reported were lack of a healthcare provider recommendation (17%), lack of information about the HPV vaccine (16%), and being in a monogamous relationship (14%). Only 11% of respondents reported that their parents did not want them to receive the HPV vaccine; 15% had no particular reason for not being vaccinated.

Healthcare provider recommendation for HPV vaccination among unvaccinated participants (N=211)

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>VARIABLE</th>
<th>Yes n (%)</th>
<th>No n (%)</th>
<th>Unsure n (%)</th>
<th>p-value</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>All</td>
<td>66 (31.3)</td>
<td>130 (61.6)</td>
<td>15 (7.1)</td>
<td>--</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Sex</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>&lt;0.0001</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Male</td>
<td>15 (13.9)</td>
<td>86 (79.6)</td>
<td>7 (6.5)</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Female</td>
<td>50 (50.0)</td>
<td>42 (42.0)</td>
<td>8 (8.0)</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Age (in years)</td>
<td>34 (37.4)</td>
<td>46 (50.6)</td>
<td>11 (12.1)</td>
<td>0.005</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>18-22</td>
<td>32 (26.7)</td>
<td>84 (70.0)</td>
<td>4 (3.3)</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>23-26</td>
<td>10 (13.2)</td>
<td>57 (79.0)</td>
<td>3 (7.9)</td>
<td>0.02</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Race</td>
<td>48 (37.5)</td>
<td>73 (57.0)</td>
<td>7 (5.5)</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>White</td>
<td>11 (39.3)</td>
<td>12 (42.9)</td>
<td>5 (17.9)</td>
<td>0.03</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Non-white</td>
<td>54 (30.5)</td>
<td>113 (63.8)</td>
<td>10 (5.7)</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

*Education no longer a significant variable for initiation or completion of vaccine series when controlled for age of the participant.
screening. The Minnesota Department of Health also found education level to be a predictor of screening, with higher education associated with a higher likelihood of being screened. Similarly, the results of our study highlight disparities in HPV vaccination status by gender and race. Males and individuals reporting non-white race were less likely to be vaccinated, and were less likely to recall receiving a healthcare provider recommendation for vaccination. While these data were not standardized by number of healthcare visits, it does show that greater efforts are needed to encourage vaccination in these populations. Multiple studies have shown healthcare provider recommendation to be the best strategy to increase HPV vaccine uptake.

Unfortunately, young adults rarely present for routine healthcare maintenance visits; incorporating opportunistic HPV vaccine recommendation and even vaccination during illness visits (e.g. health provider visits for evaluation of a rash, back pain, upper respiratory infection, etc.) is essential.

in Minnesota, with vaccine initiation and series completion rates similar to the national rates. The HPV vaccine initiation and completion rates reported in our study are similar to the objective rates reported by the Minnesota Department of Health, and suggest that our study population may be representative of the Minnesota population. The higher proportion of young adults 18-22 years of age reporting vaccine initiation suggests an encouraging trend toward increased vaccination. Even among unvaccinated participants, younger participants were more likely to recall a healthcare provider recommendation for HPV vaccination, suggesting a change in healthcare provider HPV vaccine recommendation practices over time. However, the fact that reported vaccine series completion rate is lower in 18- to 22-year-olds than 23- to 26-year-olds suggests that either these young adults are still receiving the vaccine series as part of the “catch-up” vaccine program, rather than at a younger age (i.e., 11-12 years) when the vaccine is more effective, or that while we are improving vaccine initiation rates, we need to devise more strategies to increase vaccine series completion.

The Minnesota Department of Health has reported that women of color in Minnesota are 2-3 times more likely to be diagnosed with or to die from invasive cervical cancer than non-Hispanic white women, primarily due to inadequate screening. The Minnesota Department of Health also found education level to be a predictor of screening, with higher education associated with a higher likelihood of being screened. Similarly, the results of our study highlight disparities in HPV vaccination status by gender and race. Males and individuals reporting non-white race were less likely to be vaccinated, and were less likely to recall receiving a healthcare provider recommendation for vaccination. While these data were not standardized by number of healthcare visits, it does show that greater efforts are needed to encourage vaccination in these populations. Multiple studies have shown healthcare provider recommendation to be the best strategy to increase HPV vaccine uptake.

Table 4

Reasons for not receiving the HPV vaccine—check all that apply (N=211)

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>REASON</th>
<th>N</th>
<th>(%)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>I have never heard of the vaccine</td>
<td>26</td>
<td>(12.3)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>I don’t know enough about it yet</td>
<td>34</td>
<td>(16.1)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>I am too old</td>
<td>14</td>
<td>(6.6)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>It costs too much</td>
<td>6</td>
<td>(2.8)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>It might be unsafe</td>
<td>18</td>
<td>(8.5)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>I don’t like shots</td>
<td>21</td>
<td>(10.0)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>I thought the vaccine was only for females</td>
<td>19</td>
<td>(9.0)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>My parents don’t want me to get the vaccine</td>
<td>24</td>
<td>(11.4)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>I don’t know where to get the vaccine</td>
<td>5</td>
<td>(2.4)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>I haven’t been to a doctor recently</td>
<td>27</td>
<td>(12.8)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>My doctor didn’t recommend getting the vaccine</td>
<td>35</td>
<td>(16.6)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>I’m not sexually active</td>
<td>29</td>
<td>(13.7)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>I only have sex with one partner who does not have HPV</td>
<td>30</td>
<td>(14.2)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>I’ve already had a lot of sexual partners</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>(0.9)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>I already have HPV</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>(0.9)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Other</td>
<td>14</td>
<td>(6.6)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>No particular reason</td>
<td>32</td>
<td>(15.2)</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
The strengths of our study include the large number of young adult participants from across the state, including 374 males. Although we could not verify HPV vaccination status of participants, the similarities in number of participants reporting initiation and completion of the vaccine series to the objective state data suggest that our sample was representative of the state population, and that participants are aware of their vaccination status. Limitations of our study include the convenience sample selected from attendees of the Minnesota state fair: a majority of participants were white, female, highly educated, and insured, which limits the generalizability of our results. Data on reasons for not being vaccinated may be limited, since unvaccinated participants represent a minority of our study population. All data were collected by anonymous self-report, and are subject to recall bias. Needed to improve HPV vaccine initiation and completion rates among Minnesota young adults before they are no longer eligible for the vaccine. Our study highlighted disparities in health care provider recommendations for the vaccine, and associated lower vaccination rates in male and non-white race participants. Additional efforts are needed to ensure appropriate HPV vaccination recommendations are being made to all patients, and to encourage and provide HPV vaccination to these individuals outside of the routine healthcare visit.
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Minneapolis VA Health Care System (MVAHCS) is a teaching hospital providing a full range of patient care services with state-of-the-art technology, as well as education and research. Comprehensive health care is provided through primary care, tertiary care and long-term care in areas of medicine, surgery, psychiatry, physical medicine and rehabilitation, and most other specialty areas inpatient and outpatient care.

Minneapolis VA Health Care System (MVAHCS)
Opportunities are available in the following specialties:

- Chief of General Internal Medicine
- Cardiologist – Interventional/Heart Failure
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- Nephrologist
- Psychiatrist – inpatient/outpatient/consult-liaison
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  - Rice Lake/Hayward
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Yolanda Young • Yolanda.Young2@va.gov • 612-467-4964

One Veterans Drive, Minneapolis, MN 55417 www.minneapolis.va.gov

Carris Health
is the perfect match

Carris Health is a multi-specialty health network located in west central and southwest Minnesota. Carris Health is the perfect match for healthcare providers who are looking for an exceptional practice opportunity and a high quality of life. Current opportunities available for BE/ BC physicians in the following specialties:

- Dermatology
- ENT
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- Gastroenterology
- Geriatrician
- Hospitalist
- Internal Medicine
- Nephrology
- Neurology
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- Orthopedic Surgery
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Loan repayment assistance available.
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CarrisHealth
Carris Health is an innovative health care system committed to reinventing rural health care in West Central and Southwest Minnesota.
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Family Medicine

Northfield Hospital & Clinics is seeking a Family Medicine Physician (no OB) to join our growing practice in our Lakeville Clinic, conveniently located just off Hwy 35.

Joining our independent healthcare organization allows you to advocate for patient choice while providing the best care for the individual. We use a team approach to provide seamless, integrated care with easy access to a variety of services and specialties.

Learn more at www.northfieldhospital.org/careers or submit your resume to recruiting@northfieldhospital.org. For more information contact our Recruiter, Erin, at 507-646-8170.
Focused on you. Focused on the future.

FAMILY MEDICINE OPPORTUNITIES WITH ALTRU HEALTH SYSTEM

Altru Health System is a non-profit integrated health system located in northeast North Dakota and northwest Minnesota.

We are seeking Family Medicine Physicians with or without OB to join our clinic practices in Crookston, Roseau or Warroad, MN.

**Crookston, Minnesota**
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**Roseau, Minnesota**
- LifeCare Medical Center, a 25 bed critical access hospital, is attached to the clinic
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**On the Office of Medical Cannabis website, you will find many resources for your patients.**

We post annotated review articles and reports that have been published regarding cannabis for each approved condition and online CME opportunities. We also have posted 3 reports: the **Dosages and Compositions** report that summarizes clinical trials and the **First Year** report and the **Intractable Pain** report that have been created by our office with the data collected since the start of the program.

Speak with someone over the phone at **651-201-5598** Monday through Friday from 8:00 a.m. to 4:30 p.m.
or email us anytime at health.cannabis@state.mn.us
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- Part of **National Healthcare Career Network,** a group of more than 200 medical associations nationwide
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- Posting seen by **1,200 visitors a month**
- **Online** for your convenience

Be sure to see *Minnesota Medicine* magazine for printed job openings.
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Urgent Care Physicians

HEAL. TEACH. LEAD.

At HealthPartners, we are focused on health as it could be, affordability as it must be, and relationships built on trust. Recognized once again in Minnesota Physician Publishing’s 100 Influential Health Care Leaders, we are proud of our extraordinary physicians and their contribution to the care and service of the people of the Minneapolis/St. Paul area and beyond.

As an Urgent Care Physician with HealthPartners, you’ll enjoy:

- Being part of a large, integrated organization that includes many specialties; if you have a question, simply pick up the phone and speak directly with a specialty physician
- Flexibility to suit your lifestyle that includes expanded day and evening hours, full day options providing more hours for FTE and less days on service
- An updated competitive salary and benefits package, including paid malpractice
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Sioux Falls VA Health Care System
“An Hospital for Heroes”

Working with and for America’s Veterans is a privilege and we pride ourselves on the quality of care we provide. In return for your commitment to quality health care for our nation’s Veterans, the VA offers an incomparable benefits package.

The Sioux Falls VAHCS is currently recruiting for the following healthcare positions.

- Cardiologist
- Emergency Medicine (part-time)
- Endocrinologist
- ENT (part-time)
- Gastroenterologist (part-time)
- Geriatrician
- Neurologist
- PACT
- Psychiatrist
- Psychologist
- Pulmonologist
- Urologist (part-time)

Applicants can apply online at www.usajob.gov

They all come together at the Sioux Falls VA Health Care System. To be a part of our proud tradition, contact:

Human Resources Mgmt. Service
2501 W. 22nd Street | Sioux Falls, SD 57105 | (605) 333-6852

Physician-Hibbing VA CBOC

Come explore the heartbeat of the Iron Range! This is a great destination for outdoor recreational activities, including snowmobiling, cross-country skiing, camping, fishing, hiking and biking.

The Hibbing Community Based Outpatient Clinic (CBOC) has an opening for a full-time Staff Physician. CBOCs within the Minneapolis VA Health Care System provide care to Veterans near their home town and serve over 18,000 Veterans throughout Minnesota and Western Wisconsin.

Comprehensive health care is provided through primary care. Focus on care include the chronic disease initiative areas of CHF, COPD and DM, mental health collaboration in the PC setting, MOVE program focusing on weight loss, diet and activity as well as health promotion/disease management.

Work Schedule: Monday-Friday, 8:00AM-4:30PM; No Emergency, nights, holidays, weekends or after clinic hours calls.

For additional information please see the full description on the usajob website:
https://www.usajob.gov/GetJob/ViewDetails/491799700

Do you have a comment, a compliment, a complaint? Minnesota Medicine wants to hear from you!

Send your thoughts to mm@mnmed.org
CRESTA WEDEL JONES, MD

- Maternal fetal medicine
- Assistant professor, University of Minnesota Medical School & University of Minnesota Physicians
- MMA member since 2017
- Born and raised in Wisconsin. Graduated from the University of Wisconsin-Madison and Medical College of Wisconsin. OB/GYN residency and maternal-fetal medicine fellowship at University of Vermont College of Medicine. Two years of private practice, then seven years in academic medicine at the Medical College of Wisconsin before relocating to Minnesota. Primary clinical and research focus is opioid use disorder in pregnancy.
- Husband, Scott, and three daughters: Margaret, Clara and Harriet. Household includes a Russian desert tortoise, Lombardi, and a Maltipoo puppy, Lola.

Became a physician because ...
I wanted to be able to improve the health of others in a constantly changing field. You never have to stop learning!

Greatest challenge facing medicine today ...
The greatest challenge I see is adequate health care coverage. Often women have limited access to care for chronic illnesses (e.g. diabetes, hypertension) before becoming pregnant, which makes managing these conditions in early pregnancy much more challenging. Women with medical conditions often lack access to reliable contraception.

Favorite fictional physician ...
Dr. John Carter from ER, one of my favorite shows as a young adult.

If I weren’t a physician ...
I’d be a French professor—my undergraduate major was French.

WILL NICHOLSON, MD

- Family physician
- Hospitalist and chief of staff at St. John’s Hospital, St. Paul
- MMA member since first year of medical school. MEDPAC board chair.
- From White Bear Lake and now works at the hospital about four miles from where he grew up. Graduated from the University of Minnesota and the University of Minnesota Medical School. Faculty Development fellowship at the University of Minnesota Department of Family Medicine and Community Health.
- Married to Leah with daughter, Vyla, and son, Konrad. Household includes two King Charles spaniels.

Became a physician because ...
I get to help sick people feel better every day. There cannot be a better job!

Greatest challenge facing medicine today ...
Physicians must unite. While we have been divided against each other by the specialties we practice or the way we get paid, the system we work in has been taken over by people who don’t know much about what our patients need. The foundational values of our profession should be driving health care. Working together we can make that happen.

Favorite fictional physician ...
I’ve always been partial to non-fictional physicians: how about Anton Chekhov, a real physician who wrote fiction? Medical practice informed his deep understanding of humanity.

If I weren’t a physician ...
I’d do something else on the health care team helping sick people feel better. I know plenty of people who make a fine living but don’t like what they do. I don’t ever want to be in that boat.
WE’VE GOT MORE THAN JUST YOUR BACK.

As a nationally recognized leader in patient safety and risk management, COPIC offers a better option for medical professional liability insurance. We keep you covered from front to back.

- Educational Resources that Address Emerging Issues
- On-Site, No-Cost Reviews to Identify High-Risk Areas
- Access to Medical and Legal Experts
- 20+ Years of Communication and Resolution Expertise

Better Medicine • Better Lives

callcopic.com | 800.421.1834

COPIC is proud to be endorsed by:

Colorado Hospital Association • Colorado Medical Society • Iowa Medical Society • Nebraska Medical Association
1,000 TO 28,000 Square Feet

MEDICAL SPACE FOR LEASE

SOUTHDALE MEDICAL CAMPUS
Edina, MN

2800/2828 MEDICAL BUILDINGS
Minneapolis, MN

RIVERSIDE MEDICAL BUILDING
Minneapolis, MN

RIDGEVIEW MEDICAL BUILDINGS
Burnsville, MN

- 1,000 to 28,000 square feet available
- Custom build your space
- Various locations to expand your practice
- On-campus; off-campus locations
- Competitive rental rates
- Generous Tenant Improvement allowance


We focus exclusively on healthcare real estate and have a number of space options that may be right for you. We help your practice design space that works for you and your patients. Our healthcare team has proven results and will guide you through the process of getting the right space for your practice.

Leased By:

MIKE FLEETHAM
(952) 767-2842
Mfleetham@MedCraft.com

Owned By:

HARRISON STREET
Real Estate Capital

medcraft.com/leasing